CONFIDENTIAL REPORT OF EXAMINER TO THE RESEARCH AND RESEARCH TRAINING COMMITTEE ON THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF RESEARCH

Name of Candidate: «Student_Name»  
Name of Supervisor: «Supervisor»  
Title of Thesis: «Thesis_Title»  
Name of Examiner: «Title» «Initials» «ExmnrSurname»  
Report Due Date: xx March 2018

PART I: REPORT OF EXAMINATION: Please tick appropriate boxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In my judgement this thesis:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Marginal</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) That it has adequately delineated the topic of concern by critically reflecting on current research in the relevant field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) That the research undertaken produces empirical outcomes or analytical evaluations that are clearly justified and that respond to or reflect on the relevant literature;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) That it is satisfactory as regards its literary presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You are asked to award a percentage grade for the thesis (following the attached Master of Research Marking Guidelines, which are summarised in the Note below): %

NOTE: 85-100% A candidate producing work of this quality can go on to original and innovative research and is strongly suited for enrolment in PhD.  
75-84% A candidate producing work of this quality can undertake a PhD.  
65-74% A candidate working at this level is capable of higher degree research, but not yet at doctoral level  
50-64% A candidate working at this level is not capable of further higher degree research  
Below 50% The thesis does not meet the standard for the award of the degree.

I recommend: (Please tick ONE only)

☐ AWARD: That the thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without any further work by the candidate, other than the correction of typographical errors and small lapses of expression and presentation in the final copy.

☐ AWARD (AFTER CORRECTIONS): That the thesis meets all required standards in terms of the nature and quality of work undertaken, and the degree can be awarded without further examination once the candidate has made a number of corrections and clarifications in the thesis, as specified in my report. The corrections are to be done to the satisfaction of the university’s Research and Research Training Committee, and would be normally completed within a period of one to two months.

☐ REVISION and RE-EXAMINATION: That the thesis does not yet meet all required standards for the award of the degree and the candidate should complete a further period of research and writing. Normally under this category a candidate would re-enrol for a period of three months full time (or equivalent) with possible extension up to three months full time (or equivalent). The thesis will then be submitted for re-examination.

☐ I am prepared to re-examine this thesis  ☐ I am NOT prepared to re-examine this thesis

☐ NOT AWARD: That the thesis does not meet the required standards for the award of the degree, and does not warrant a further period of research and writing.

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

I do NOT wish my name to remain on Part II of the report referred to the candidate  ☐

Signature of Examiner: ……………………………………………………………………………...  Date: ………………………...
PART II:

Please state concisely the grounds on which the recommendation is based, indicating the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis. This should be in sufficient detail for candidates to gain clear understanding of your opinion of their work and the means by which your conclusions were reached.

Where further work is required, please indicate clearly those revisions and/or corrections which you wish to see made. Supplementary sheets may be attached.

Name of Candidate: «Student_Name»

Name of Examiner: «Title» «Initials» «ExmnrSurname»

Signature of Examiner: ................................................................. Date: ..................