LEAP! (Learning, Education, Aspiration, Participation)

WIDENING PARTICIPATION STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK 2018–2023
Message from the Vice-Chancellor

Education is transformational. It empowers us to strive and make our dreams realities. As a rural student growing up in Ivanhoe and Dubbo on the Western Plains of New South Wales, my education helped me to realise my potential. Quite simply, my education has taken me around the world. As Vice-Chancellor of this very special university I remain wholeheartedly committed to diversity as one of our most important organising principles. Our strength at Macquarie lies in our diversity. We have always sought to target great minds, regardless of their background. Through our approach to widening participation we actively seek to attract and support new students who would not otherwise have access to higher education. These students benefit from this opportunity for the rest of their lives, but all of us here at Macquarie are also beneficiaries. Quality education is best achieved when students come from diverse backgrounds, races, ethnicities, classes and genders. Further, our diverse student cohort helps sustain our teaching and research.

Finally, widening participation serves an important national purpose. It is a major factor in facilitating social inclusion for individuals and society as a whole. It leads to greater national competitiveness; social justice; societal inclusion and equity; employment opportunities; increased earnings; improved health outcomes, living conditions and life expectancy; and greater community engagement. Ultimately it helps make us better citizens who live in better communities.

The Macquarie University Widening Participation Framework sets out our vision for coming years. Please join us to help us make our dreams realities.

Professor S Bruce Dowton
VICE-CHANCELLOR

PHOTOS: All photos by Chris Stacey unless otherwise noted. The information in this document is correct at the date of publication but the University reserves the right to vary or withdraw any general information without notice.
Message from the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)

Macquarie University has always defied the traditional boundaries of academia – founded as an alternative to the classical pattern of higher education as it existed in the early 1960s. Indeed, one of our founders was insistent: Macquarie would open university education to those denied it and to those who had never dreamed of it.

More than 50 years later, Macquarie remains a nurturing culture where our students are openly encouraged to be the best they can be. A culture where working together, seizing new opportunities, and connecting with the most diverse people and perspectives to effect real change is supported.

This attitude can be clearly seen in our commitment to widening participation, where we seek to nurture in our students a remarkable sense of hopefulness and confidence that life is full of possibilities, no matter their background or their financial status.

We have a strong reputation for our work with refugees and asylum seekers, have enviable networks within rural and remote communities, and we're currently experiencing increasing participation from diverse elements of the community.

While our successes are to be commended, more can always be accomplished. And so, backed by this strategic framework and its accompanying implementation plan, we seek to achieve institutional change in the widening participation space that is both coordinated and sustainable.

In meeting our ambitions for widening participation, we will realise the vision of Macquarie's founders, forged by today's staff and students, and reinvigorated for future generations.

Professor Kevin Jameson
DEPUTY VICE-CHANCELLOR (ACADEMIC)
The term, widening participation, is used around the world to describe initiatives that support students from groups that have long been under-represented in higher education. Widening participation strategies seek to attract such students to university and then provide the necessary support to ensure they are successful.

The issue of access to a higher educational experience which is both affordable and worthwhile is not only a challenge for poorer countries. Wealthy countries also need to confront the growing inequality and as governments withdraw from the funding of public higher education a greater burden falls upon those who wish to study.

Attracting students from a wide range of economic, social, cultural and educational backgrounds has been a long-term ambition of the Australian Government and the university sector (Parkar and Gale 2013).

As a university of service and engagement that aspires to be a destination of choice for students who share our values and who want to contribute towards a better world, widening participation is a core element of Macquarie’s strategic direction and demonstrates our commitment to inclusiveness and to offering experiences that change the lives of our students. We seek to create opportunities for those who have previously been denied opportunity and we affirm that what matters is where a student finishes, not where they start.

Our University: A Framing of Futures identifies our enduring commitment to provide opportunities for students from diverse and varied cohorts. Strategic Priority 3, which aligns the nature and size of the University for the future, notes ‘our focus will be on building on our commitment to inclusiveness through targeted and sustainable collaborative programs’ (Macquarie University 2013, p 15.) Such a focus sits at the heart of this strategic framework.

The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) defines socio-economic advantage or disadvantage in people’s access to material and social resources, as well as their ability to participate in society. Government initiatives to increase participation in higher education by students who experience socio-economic disadvantage are based on the Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage (IRSD), one of the ABS’s Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA).

People in the lowest quartile are identified as having low socio-economic status (low SES).

Macquarie’s historical performance in this space is shown in table 1 below. While the data over the period 2011-15 shows improvement, we still have much work to do. This strategic framework, with its ambition to develop a coordinated and sustainable approach to widening participation, will help realise our ambition to further increase representation at Macquarie from our identified equity groups.

The ultimate ambition of any widening participation strategy in the higher education sector is to encourage individuals who come from identified equity groups to enrol in and successfully complete a tertiary education program of study. We will seek to ensure this ambition through the implementation of a strategy that aims to increase the enrolment, retention and success of students from our equity groups.

### TABLE 1 Proportion of total cohort of domestic undergraduate students from low SES backgrounds, full-year data 2011 and 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University student cohort</th>
<th>2011 (%)</th>
<th>2016 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nationally</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group of Eight</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Education and Training (2017)
In 2008, the Bradley Report (2008, p. xi) pointed out that Australia was ‘losing ground’ in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) rankings with regard to the proportion of the population holding higher education qualifications.

Table 2 Participation, retention and success rates for domestic undergraduate students from low SES backgrounds, full-year data 2014 (retention) and 2015 (participation and success)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University student cohort</th>
<th>Nationally</th>
<th>NSW (%)</th>
<th>Sydney (%)</th>
<th>Group of Eight (%)</th>
<th>Macquarie University (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation rate1 for domestic undergraduate low SES students</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention rate2 for domestic undergraduate low SES students</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention rate for all domestic undergraduate students</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between all students and low SES students</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate3 for domestic undergraduate low SES students</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success rate for all domestic undergraduate students</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between all students and low SES students</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Education and Training (2016)

1 Students from low SES backgrounds as a percentage of total domestic undergraduate student cohort
2 Students who commenced but did not complete a bachelor course in 2014, and continued in 2015, as a percentage of all students who commenced but did not complete a bachelor course in 2014
3 Percentage of actual student load for units of study that are passed divided by all units of study attempted (passed + failed + withdrawn)

In 2009, the Council of Australian Governments set the target that by 2025 40 per cent of 25 to 34 year olds should hold at least a bachelor degree (Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations, 2009). To achieve such an outcome, Australian universities were compelled to increase their engagement with the wider Australian community (Parker and Gale 2013). Such an approach was strongly endorsed by the Commonwealth through the setting of another national target. This target sought to see Australians from low SES backgrounds representing 20 per cent of the student population at university by 2020. Simply getting low SES students into the system, however, was only the first step. Further, the Commonwealth desired that these students would enjoy a completion rate that was at least 95 per cent of the rate for high SES students (Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations, 2009).

Such ambitions for the nation pose significant challenges for Australian universities. There is a strong correlation between social background and educational achievement. Despite a commonly held view that equal opportunity exists in Australia, research by the OECD’s Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) has shown that student background has a stronger impact on educational attainment in Australia than any other OECD country (Gonski et al. 2011). Students from low SES backgrounds remain under-represented in Australian higher education and their retention and success rates remain a source of concern (see table 2 at right).

To support the sector’s work attracting and retaining low SES students, the Australian Government created the Higher Education Participation and Partnerships Program (HEPPP) in 2010. HEPPP continues to dominate sector spending in the widening participation space. As part of its 2017–18 educational reforms, the Australian Government planned to alter HEPPP but after the failure of the general reform package in the Senate in late 2017, it was announced via the Mid-Year Economic and Fiscal Outlook (MYEFO) statement of 18 December 2017 that Government would no longer be proceeding with proposed changes to the structure of HEPPP and that the level of funding would be maintained at $592.2 million. Since 2010, Macquarie has received nearly $19 million in HEPPP funding based on a formula informed by the number of students enrolled from low SES backgrounds. In 2018, Macquarie’s allocation rose modestly from $2,598,977 to $2,711,408.
Summary of milestones in equity policy in higher education, 1988–2009

1988
HIGHER EDUCATION: A POLICY STATEMENT (WHITE PAPER)
Released by the Minister for Education, the Hon. John Dawkins MP, the paper stated that the Australian Government intended to promote greater equity in higher education by developing a national overview of equity problems; developing national objectives; facilitating the identification, implementation and monitoring of progress towards institutional equity goals; providing additional funds for equity programs; guiding institutions; and reviewing Commonwealth programs.

1991
REPORT OF THE HIGHER EDUCATION PERFORMANCE INDICATORS RESEARCH GROUP
Established in 1989, the Higher Education Performance Indicators Research Group reported that the collection and analysis of data required to generate indicators should be undertaken by the Commonwealth in cooperation with higher education institutions, and that the indicators should be published annually.

1994
EQUITY AND GENERAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ("MARTIN INDICATORS") IN HIGHER EDUCATION
Included the development of operational definitions of the six equity groups described in A Fair Chance for All and the creation of indicators used to monitor the performance of these equity groups (access, participation, success and retention).

1996
EQUALITY, DIVERSITY AND HIGHER EDUCATION: ADVANCING THE NATIONAL EDUCATION EQUITY FRAMEWORK
Report requested by then Minister for Employment, Education and Training (the Hon. Simon Crean MP) to assess progress towards A Fair Chance for All. The report set out 26 recommendations but a change of government impacted on implementation.

2002
CROSSROADS REVIEW OF HIGHER EDUCATION
The review's main finding for equity was that students from disadvantaged backgrounds remained under-represented in higher education. Led to a package of policy reforms announced by then Minister for Education, Science and Training, The Hon. Brendan Nelson MP.

2003
BACKING AUSTRALIA’S FUTURE
Introduced a range of new equity-related funding streams and programs including scholarship programs, the Indigenous Support Fund and the Indigenous Higher Education Advisory Council.

2009
TRANSFORMING AUSTRALIA'S HIGHER EDUCATION SYSTEM
As part of the 2009 Budget, the Australian Government announced it would provide an additional $6.4 billion over four years in a comprehensive response to the Bradley Review, with reforms to impact on the scale, potential and quality of the nation's universities. The reforms put participation in the centre, with an equity target for 20 per cent of higher education enrolments at the undergraduate level to be from people of low SES background by 2020.

The conversion of such outreach activities to actual participation in university is the big challenge. Currently, five indicators are frequently used to track change in outcomes for equity groups:

1. ACCESS
The number of equity group students commencing university as a proportion of all commencing domestic undergraduate students.

2. PARTICIPATION
The number of equity group students enrolled as a proportion of all domestic undergraduate students enrolled.

3. RETENTION
The number of equity group students re-enrolling at an institution in a given year as a proportion of the domestic undergraduate students who were enrolled in the previous year, minus those students who have completed their course.

4. SUCCESS
For the equity group, the number of units passed within a year as a proportion of the total units in which they were enrolled.

5. COMPLETIONS
The number of students in an equity group who complete a program in a given year as a percentage of completions by all domestic undergraduate students.

Since 2011, the key challenges for Macquarie in the WP space have been:

• providing sustained student access with short-term funding
• dealing with the inefficiencies that impede program effectiveness due to the current Commonwealth funding model
• discontinuities in staff produced by staff turnover as a consequence of project-based ‘soft money’ funding
• leveraging our recruitment activities from outreach opportunities.

Addressing these challenges is the main focus of the strategic framework and speaks to two main approaches.

1. REALISING GREATER INSTITUTIONAL COORDINATION
The wealth of our reputational credentials, hard-earned across our many and varied individual engagements, is neither capitalised on nor leveraged far beyond specific partnerships.

We can do more to build our reputation in this space both within and beyond Macquarie University.

With a stronger focus on coordination, the success enjoyed by any stakeholder will be celebrated by all partners. At the centre of our new approach is better integration of our activities that builds enduring relationships with all stakeholders.

2. REALISING GREATER INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT TO THE WP SPACE
The current funding model works against the development of a sustainable vision for the space. HEPPP funding is both a blessing and a curse for the WP function at Macquarie. It is a blessing because the funds over the last eight years have allowed us to embark on a range of activities that have benefited students, the University and the broader community. It remains a curse, however, because this annually allocated Australian Government ‘soft money’ distorts our ambition and scope.

It is well documented that long-term community engagement in the widening participation space requires sustained and consistent resourcing (Thomas 2005; Reed, King & Whiteford 2014). Staffing is a central concern in this regard.
The need to invest in qualified staff was acknowledged by the Executive’s actions in late 2016, when it converted three staff to continuing roles. Aligned with this strategic plan is the advocacy of the PVC (P&P) to secure greater employment certainty for the staff of the WP team. Greater employment certainty for staff in the WP unit will aid the successful realisation of this strategic framework.

In 2016, a Widening Participation Strategic Framework green paper was developed and endorsed by the University Executive Group. However, a range of internal and external pressures and events brought an organisational structural change to WP@MQ in 2017. This change provided an opportunity to re-examine the framework in an environment very different from that in which the document had been created. Rather than simply develop an implementation plan for the framework, it was decided to revisit the strategic framework itself to test whether it remained fit for purpose. While many aspects of the framework remained viable and the basic direction of the strategy remained sound, it was decided that the opportunity existed to reconsider aspects of the framework.

In summary, the current higher education landscape is filled with uncertainty and the fiscal environment requires a coordinated, effective and efficient approach towards widening participation. This strategic framework sets out how we will improve coordination of our activities and thereby reduce duplication of activities and align specialised skills and activities from across the campus.

Through a more sustainable approach to resourcing we will escape the HEPPP straightjacket and be able to better expand our focus beyond the outreach pillar of our vision. This will be achieved through efficient service delivery that is both innovative and adaptive. The essence of this strategic document therefore is straightforward. With better coordination and a more sustainable funding model, we can build a more inclusive University.

TABLE 3 Equity group participation at Macquarie University, 2011 and 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equity group</th>
<th>Students 2011</th>
<th>Students 2016</th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low SES</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>2356</td>
<td>+142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional/remote</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>+112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>+197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>+100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Education and Training (2016)

TABLE 4 Equity Groups as a proportion of the total student undergraduate population at Macquarie University, 2011 and 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equity group</th>
<th>Students 2011 (%)</th>
<th>Students 2016 (%)</th>
<th>% change over 6 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low SES</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional/remote</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>+0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Education and Training (2016)
All existing and new partnerships will be forged through the completion of compacts. These compacts will be either one year (for pilot trials) or three years for existing activities or pilots that have been evaluated as successful. The new approach seeks to provide greater certainty for the continuation of WP activities at Macquarie through an expressed commitment by internal and external partners to develop and support the initiative.

For internal stakeholders, the first three-year cycle will see the financial contribution to the project increase each year until it reaches a 50/50 split with WP@MQ in Year 3. Such an approach will offer greater financial stability for WP activities at Macquarie and also ensure sustained stakeholder engagement. Further, internal partners will be able to more readily mainstream their support of WP activities and factor this support into future budget planning. Finally, the approach will free funds (be they HEPPP or otherwise) that can be utilised to support new initiatives.

Macquarie University’s new approach to widening participation will be underpinned by the implementation of a new compact model.
The widening participation strategy provides a framework for action across our University community.

Objectives and principles
A FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION

SUSTAINABILITY
Widening participation activities will be embedded within and across the University as part of our core business so that they are not jeopardised by short-term and uncertain funding cycles.

COORDINATION
WP@MQ will lead a coordinated approach to activities across the four pillars. It will unite multiple internal and external partners and their activities to ensure that resources are potentiated, rather than duplicated.

This new approach to widening participation will be underpinned by four principles.

COLLABORATION
Working in partnership with a diverse range of internal and external partners is pivotal to the success of activities that support students from equity groups.

AGENCY
Students from equity groups will be supported and encouraged to participate as co-creators of widening participation activities. All activities will incorporate the student voice in their design, operation and evaluation.

BEST PRACTICE
All projects/initiatives will be evidence based, drawing upon international research and best practice with robust evaluation and reporting mechanisms. Ongoing review of projects/initiatives will ensure that continuous quality improvement is embedded within them.

AGILITY
Activities will be agile and proactive in responding to changes within the sector and equity landscape, including identifying and meeting the needs of emerging equity cohorts.

The establishment of a WP Guidance Committee chaired by the PVC (P&P) will play an integral role in ensuring that widening participation activities across the University are aligned with our guiding principles. With representation from a diverse group of internal and external stakeholders, the committee will by its very nature encourage collaboration and coordination across the University. A business case (benefits and cost) of WP activities will be developed in consultation with internal and external partners to appropriately fund the initiatives.

A sustainable and coordinated approach to widening participation, underpinned by our guiding principles, will enable us to work towards achieving Macquarie University’s overall commitment towards inclusivity.
## Key indicators and strategies 2018–2023

### PILLAR 1
**LEAP UP: Outreach**
- Strengthen the capacity of students from equity groups to participate in higher education

### PILLAR 2
**LEAP IN: Pathways**
- Increase opportunities for students from equity groups to study at Macquarie University

### PILLAR 3
**LEAP THROUGH: Participation**
- Enhance support to students from equity groups, from enrolment through to graduation

### PILLAR 4
**LEAP ON: Impact**
- Improve graduate outcomes, employment and professional opportunities for students from equity groups

### Goal A
- **Objective**
  - Build awareness of and broaden aspirations around higher education study for students from equity groups
  - Provide opportunities for equity groups to access University through our diverse range of admission pathways
  - Establish Macquarie University as a study destination of choice among students from equity groups, their families/careers, teachers and communities
  - Provide a range of support services (including scholarships and financial support) to students from equity groups, their families/careers, teachers and communities

### Goal B
- **Objective**
  - Provide targeted and appropriate transition support to University life for students from equity groups
  - Synchronise the effective delivery of a range of University support services available to students from equity groups to foster their sense of belonging and maximise their potential for success
  - Encourage student lifelong learning and continuous engagement with Macquarie University for students from equity groups

---
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- **PILLAR 1 LEAP UP: Outreach**
  - Objective: Strengthen the capacity of students from equity groups to participate in higher education

- **PILLAR 2 LEAP IN: Pathways**
  - Objective: Increase opportunities for students from equity groups to study at Macquarie University

- **PILLAR 3 LEAP THROUGH: Participation**
  - Objective: Enhance support to students from equity groups, from enrolment through to graduation

- **PILLAR 4 LEAP ON: Impact**
  - Objective: Improve graduate outcomes, employment and professional opportunities for students from equity groups
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### WIDENING PARTICIPATION STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK 2018–2023

**GOAL A**

**LEAP UP: Outreach**

**OVERARCHING KPIS**

- Increased profile of Macquarie University as a university of choice and opportunity
- Increased applications and enrolments from equity group students engaged in outreach programs
- Increased partnership networks
- Continuity and increased depth of partnerships that add mutual value to engagement strategies and programs
- Positive evaluation outcomes
- Positive growth to Macquarie University widening participation outreach activities
- Increased measurable reach and depth of media communications
- Increased parent and community engagement with Macquarie University outreach programs
- Contribution to sector growth and increased participation of equity groups in higher education

**Strategy**

| P1.B3 | Develop Macquarie University WP branding and marketing plan that aligns with current Macquarie University initiatives |

**GOAL B**

**LEAP IN: Pathways**

**OVERARCHING KPIS**

- Increased profile identity of Macquarie University as the university of choice and opportunity
- Increased measure of Macquarie University applications from students from equity groups
- Effective transition support to prepare students with appropriate understanding of the academic and non-academic support, as well as expectations, they will encounter in higher education
- Increased student awareness of alternative entry paths to Macquarie University

**Strategy**

| P2.A3 | Identify and strengthen cross-portfolio partnerships to effectively support students from our equity groups |
| P2.A4 | Facilitate transition support to university for our equity groups |
| P2.A5 | Through liaison, explore MUIC as a pathway for WP |

**GOAL B**

**Provide a range of support services (including scholarships and financial support) to students from equity groups, their families/carers, teachers and communities**

**Strategy**

| P2.B1 | Develop targeted scholarships/funding support to equity group students, based on a range of criteria measuring disadvantage |
| P2.B2 | WP@MQ to take on a more active leadership role within the widening participation sector |
The program has provided opportunities for participants to build their social and professional networks and develop connections. This in turn has led to a sense of belonging and a confirmation of personal goals.

Third-year Macquarie Education student

“There are support services on campus that we didn’t know existed. I was surprised when I heard about the level of support available for students. I wish I knew this in my first year. I am now attending workshops and getting the academic support for my studies.”

Second-year Macquarie Education student

“I just got the full-time job I was applying for. Thank you for all your help. I want to continue my connections with the university.”

Macquarie University Law graduate

“I have been going back to my high school and working with them to set up a program for young girls interested in studying journalism. Giving back to my school where my journey started is one of my goals.”

Macquarie University Media Studies graduate
Implementation plan

The implementation plan shows how this strategic framework will be operationalised over the next few years.

The plan shows the pillars with new and continuing activities aligning with the strategies. It also identifies the current inhibitors, sets activity KPIs and leads, lists stakeholders and timings.

The implementation plan is the first of two operational documents that will be associated with this strategic framework. The first implementation plan will cover 2018-2020.
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ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics

DNCA Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)

HR Human Resources

IRSD Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage

LEAP Learning, Education, Aspiration, Participation

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

HEPPP Higher Education Participation and Partnerships Program

KPI Key Performance Indicator

MQ ROADS Macquarie University ROADS program

MUIC Macquarie University International College

OLT Office for Learning and Teaching
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PSIA Programme for International Student Assessment

PV (LT) Pro Vice-Chancellor (Learning and Teaching)

PV (P&P) Pro Vice-Chancellor (Programs and Pathways)
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