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Educational terminology

Educational terminology varies across institutions and jurisdictions. In this report, the following terms have been used:

**Assessment:** tasks or activities that are required by the pre-service teacher for the evaluation of the learning outcomes of a module. Synonymous with ‘assignment’.

**iLearn:** a student learning management system, synonymous with similar systems such as Blackboard.

**Module:** approximately one-third of a 12-week university semester-length subject.

**Moodle:** a learning management system for online delivery of university units.

**Pedagogy:** the method and practice of teaching.

**Pre-service teacher:** Synonymous with ‘initial teacher education student’ and ‘prospective teachers (of mathematics and science)’.

**Pre-service teacher education:** Synonymous with ‘initial teacher education’.

**Program:** a series of credit-bearing academic units of study leading to award of a degree. Synonymous with ‘course’ or ‘degree’.

**Professional experience program:** in-school teaching experience conducted in a pre-service program under supervision of a supervising teacher and/or university advisor. Synonymous with ‘practicum’.

**Unit:** a 12-week university semester-length subject.
Executive summary

About Opening Real Science

‘Real’ science is science as it is practised, using the processes of hypothesis, experimentation, observation, interpretation and debate. Opening Real Science (ORS): Authentic Mathematics and Science Education for Australia was initiated to foster improvement in the quality of mathematics and science teaching by supporting pre-service programs to teach science as it is practised, in a way that promoted mathematics and science as authentic, dynamic, forward-looking and collaborative human endeavours.

The ORS project was one of five consortia projects undertaken through the Australian Government’s Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers (ETMST) Program. The project was led by Macquarie University, in a strategic partnership with Western Sydney University, Australian Catholic University, Charles Sturt University, Edith Cowan University, University of Canberra and The University of Notre Dame Australia. The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Astronomy and Space Science, the Australian Astronomical Observatory and Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope were industry partners.

Project aim and approach

The ORS project was designed to reconceptualise how mathematics and science pre-service teachers are prepared. Complementary learning resources were developed to support pre-service teachers, both in their teacher education programs and during their professional experience.

Key project outputs

The ORS project successfully provided new and effective support for engaging and empowering pre-service teachers to embrace mathematics and science through (1) development of a new specialist professional experience model, (2) delivery of a ‘real science’ learning program, and (3) establishment of collaborative networks of scientists, statisticians, mathematicians, technology experts, and teacher education professionals working to enhance the mathematics and science capabilities of pre-service teachers.

Specialist professional experience

The ORS professional experience model allowed pre-service teachers to significantly increase the quantity, quality and scope of science (and mathematics) classroom learning experiences where this would not have occurred in the regular primary school teaching program. This was achieved by enabling pre-service primary teachers to work collaboratively with school mentors and a specialist ORS primary
science supervisor, and offered supervised placements within research organisations and public science education venues.

Trials involving 81 pre-service teachers working with 91 school mentors across 37 Sydney primary schools highlighted a range of challenges to increasing the focus on primary science. Unlike primary pre-service teachers, secondary pre-service teachers are being trained as specialist science or mathematics teachers. Therefore, the challenges for them do not relate so much to confidence and competence, but more to pedagogy, encouraging an inquiry-based approach and establishing authentic contexts for learning. This is an important consideration for developing an effective professional experience program.

The ORS modules: a ‘real science’ learning program

The ORS modules were designed to engage pre-service teachers in ‘real science’ by linking them with case studies of cutting edge scientific exploration and applications of mathematics, and giving them opportunities to pursue their own scientific and mathematical interests through investigative pedagogies.

The suite of 25 modules was developed by project teams comprising science, mathematics, education and design specialists, delivered through mixed and fully online modes, and trialled in a variety of contexts with tutor support. The modules cover mathematics (numeracy, statistical and financial literacy, advanced mathematics and mathematical modelling), physics, astronomy, biology, chemistry, and earth and environmental science.

There were 58 module evaluation trials across teacher education programs from seven universities involving 4226 pre-service teachers. The interdisciplinary, inquiry-based scientific approach was shown to be viable and effective in enhancing pre-service teacher knowledge and inquiry-based skills.

As a result, modules have been embedded in teacher education programs at partner universities. Components of the modules are also being adapted for use in mathematics and science education programs and as teaching resources more generally. The modules are also being re-developed for use in accredited professional learning for in-service teachers.

Collaborative networks

The development of learning modules established collaborative networks between scientists, mathematicians and education and learning design specialists, extending across universities. The communities of practice and interest forged through the trialling, evaluation and embedding of ORS modules and aligned resources extended these networks to include schools, in-service teachers, professional associations, government agencies and other research organisations and stakeholders. The collaboration with state accrediting authorities such as the NSW Education
Standards Authority has resulted in a new specialist primary mathematics and science teacher education program.

**Impact**

The ORS project has resulted in:

- A scalable model for offering specialist mathematics and science professional experience for pre-service teachers.
- Scientific method embedded in inquiry-led lessons through provision of learning materials incorporating authentic science experiences.
- A professional network of ORS practising scientists, mathematicians, teacher educators and mentor teachers, and increased cooperation between schools and universities.
- Specialist primary and secondary mathematics and science teachers with an in-depth knowledge of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) content.
- Improved teacher capability, confidence and motivation in mathematics and science.

**Step changes and recommendations**

Seven step changes were identified through the ORS project experience, leading to the following recommendations for enhancing the mathematics and science capability of pre-service teachers.

[1] Effective development of competent specialist primary mathematics and science teachers can be achieved through a collaborative ORS model.

- Implement specialist professional experience in primary mathematics and science or STEM, with a minimum of 20 hours’ experience over a four-week block. Support from an expert science/mathematics advisor and a mentor teacher supportive of STEM initiatives are essential.
- Recognise the different challenges for primary and secondary pre-service teachers in the design of education programs.

[2] Capabilities and capacity of pre-service primary teachers can be significantly improved and expanded through strategic changes at higher education level.

- Prioritise within (Australian Councils of Deans of Education and Deans of Science) higher education academic programs and strategic planning the review and expansion of mathematics and science education within primary teacher education programs, coordinated with the recruitment of specialist educators with expertise in mathematics and science.
• Appoint mathematics and science tertiary education experts, recruited from science, mathematics or related fields, as 'boundary crossers' with effective experience in scientific pedagogy.

[3] Systematic evaluation of primary and secondary (mathematics and science) pre-service teacher education programs can inform changes in scope and direction.

• Re-design mathematics and science pre-service programs from the ground up based on systematic evaluation data of the outcomes of existing programs and the potential input from science faculties (and mathematics, engineering and ICT disciplines) and collaborative networks. The groundwork has been laid, but more work is needed in academic program review and development, and strategies for effective teaching and learning.


• Establish effective collaborative committee and program development structures within universities where science and education faculties and departments (such as a cross-faculty working group) work together in the development, implementation and evaluation of STEM programs.

[5] Pre-service teachers can successfully apply mathematics and science knowledge and processes gained through academic study offered by faculties of science and mathematics.

• Develop specialist primary mathematics and science education programs that tailor mathematics and science academic units and coordinate their delivery.

[6] Authentic scientific contexts can transform and enrich pre-service training with the collaboration of scientists engaging pre-service teachers in scientific process and mathematical thinking.

• Integrate real science contexts and problems, including industry or community-based field work or contexts, into mathematics and science teacher education programs.
• Shift focus from traditional pedagogies aligned with syllabus content to engaging in contexts of authentic applications of mathematics and science.

[7] Cross-institutional cooperation and collaboration can facilitate improved sharing of resources and expertise.

• Facilitate new ways of integrating of content and pedagogy that reach beyond the level of individual institutions where the ETMST collaborative networks and resources can be shared, particularly where some teacher education programs do not have direct support from scientists and mathematicians.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Project context

In July 2013, the Australian Government Office for Learning and Teaching initiated the Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers (ETMST) program. The purpose was to foster improvement in the quality of mathematics and science teachers by supporting new pre-service programs that taught mathematics and science as dynamic, forward-looking, and collaborative human endeavours.

As one of five ETMST projects, Opening Real Science (ORS): Authentic Mathematics and Science Education for Australia developed a strategic partnership between leading Australian teacher educators, mathematicians and scientists across seven universities and three industry partners over a three-year period.

1.2 Opening Real Science aims

ORS supports two main priorities of the ETMST program:

- New forms of collaboration between faculties and departments of science, mathematics and education which will produce teachers who have a contemporary and dynamic view of science that can inspire students (Priority 1).

- New curriculum arrangements in teacher education programs that give pre-service teachers of mathematics and science a new vision of how mathematical and scientific content, thinking and pedagogy can work together (Priority 3).

The ORS intended project logic statement is included in Appendix B.

1.3 Opening Real Science approach

The ORS project team took up the ETMST program challenge to reconceptualise a mathematics and science pre-service teacher education curriculum by developing complementary resources to support pre-service teachers both in their teacher education programs and through their practical experience.

The core product developed through the ORS project is a suite of learning modules, which exemplify a range of authentic scientific and mathematical contexts, aimed to develop pre-service teachers’ understanding and inquiry skills.

Recognising the critical importance of in-school experience, a unique module of professional experience was developed to support the specialist practical experience of pre-service primary science teaching, where mentor teachers collaborated with the ORS specialist university advisor.
Together, the ORS modules and the professional experience provided new and effective support for engaging and empowering pre-service teachers to embrace mathematics and science.

### 1.3.1 Opening Real Science modules

The ORS modules were developed to address ETMST Priority 3, concerning new curriculum arrangements in teacher education programs.

The modules engage pre-service teachers in ‘real science’ by linking them with case studies of cutting edge scientific exploration and applications of mathematics, and giving them opportunities to pursue their own scientific and mathematical investigations. This process is aimed at building the pre-service teachers’ mathematics and science competence and knowledge, so they are better equipped to inspire their school students to pose and investigate relevant questions about their world and the universe beyond.

The suite of 25 modules covers mathematics (numeracy, statistical and financial literacy, advanced mathematics and mathematical modelling), physics, astronomy, biology, chemistry, and earth and environmental science. The *Intelligent Materials* module broadened the scope to incorporate design learning and digital technologies. *Discovering Real Science through Big History* and *Frontiers of Real Science* act as ‘gateway’ and ‘capstone’ modules.

The modules were delivered to pre-service teachers through mixed and fully online modes with tutor support and trialled in a variety of teacher education contexts.

Details of module design, content, development, implementation and evaluation are provided in Chapter 2.

### 1.3.2 Opening Real Science professional experience model

The ORS primary science professional experience program was developed to support the teaching capabilities and authentic science pedagogy of pre-service teachers.

The professional experience model enabled pre-service primary teachers to work collaboratively with school mentors and a specialist ORS primary science advisor. As part of their professional experience, pre-service teachers were also offered supervised placements of between five and eight days within organisations such the Sydney Observatory, the Australian Museum and the Institute of Marine Science, where scientists, educators and school students participated collaboratively.

The program was instrumental in prioritising science, in particular, as critical to science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) learning within the school curriculum. A long-term goal is to increase the supply of confident primary mathematics and science teachers capable of opening up real science to a wider, more aware and engaged generation of pre-service and practising teachers. The ORS professional experience model has been accredited for the Macquarie University primary mathematics and science specialist teacher education program.
Details of the development, implementation and evaluation of the professional experience model are provided in Chapter 3.

### 1.3.3 Collaboration

Development of effective mathematics and science content and pedagogy for teacher education required input from scientists, mathematicians, statisticians and teacher education professionals. In order to accomplish this, and to address ETMST Priority 1 (collaboration between mathematics, science and education departments and faculties), the ORS project team (see section 1.5) drew on expertise from across its seven partner universities and three external partner research agencies. As well as education specialists, project team members represent a range of mathematics and science disciplines.

### 1.4 Project goals and evaluation

The four major goals of ORS are to

1. Improve content knowledge and develop new teaching practices among pre-service and practising teachers using ‘real science’ thinking and processes.
2. Develop new levels of cooperation between academics, practising mathematicians, scientists and teachers.
3. Develop effective ‘real science’ learning modules to transform teacher education programs and professional experience.
4. Implement a specialised science professional experience model where mentor teachers collaborate with the ORS specialist university advisor.

There were significant achievements across all goals but most notably Goal 2, exemplified by the establishment of a cross-faculty working group at Macquarie University (and in part at Western Sydney University and Charles Sturt University) (see section 4.1.4), and Goal 3, with the development of the module suite and professional experience model. Over the course of the project, with increased pre-service teacher scientific knowledge and adoption of authentic scientific pedagogy, emphasis shifted to developing the professional experience model.

Evidence from a variety of sources was collected to evaluate ORS activities and outputs. Each evaluation stage—formative, summative and impact—employed a variety of activities using procedures and tools (instruments) that are appropriate to the scope of the evaluation task.

The ORS evaluation plan is attached in Appendix C.
1.5 Opening Real Science project team

1.5.1 Project partners

The ORS project was led by Macquarie University’s (MQ) Department of Educational Studies and the Faculty of Science and Engineering, in a strategic partnership with Western Sydney University (WSU, principal partnership), Australian Catholic University (ACU), Charles Sturt University (CSU), Edith Cowan University (ECU), University of Canberra (UC) and The University of Notre Dame Australia, Sydney (UNDA).

ORS also engaged the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Astronomy and Space Science, the Australian Astronomical Observatory and Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope as industry partners in the scoping and development of the project.

1.5.2 Project management

There were four key groups involved in ORS project management:

1. The **Project Leadership Team** was responsible for the overall decision making and progress of the project.

2. A **Reference Committee**, comprising representatives from each partner university, the Office of the Chief Scientist, the CSIRO, the NSW Department of Education, the NSW Education Standards Authority and other stakeholders, met biannually to assess progress and offer independent advice on project direction.

3. **Partner Institution Leaders** were responsible for the management and reporting of the project outcomes to the Project Leadership Team and the Reference Committee.

4. The **Project Support Team** comprised the project manager, academic developers and designers, research assistants and the professional experience university advisors. The team was supported by MQ research fellows, a PhD scholar and pre-service scholarship recipients who facilitated the development, implementation and evaluation of project outputs.

A full listing and affiliation of participants comprising the four groups is provided in Appendix D.

---

1 Edith Cowan University, Perth joined as a partner institution in 2015 following the appointment of Professor David McKinnon who moved from Charles Sturt University, Bathurst, NSW.
Chapter 2: ORS modules

2.1 Module themes and scope

The suite of modules developed by module writing teams—practising scientists, mathematicians and educators—involved in the initial stages of the ORS project introduced a range of concepts relevant to their collective research inquiry and projects. This resulted in a comprehensive group of modules, which were relevant to current curricula, and included new topics not previously taught in K–12 school contexts which were of interest to the target undergraduate audience.

*Sound, Gateway to Numeracy* and *Smart Budgeting* contain fundamental mathematics and science content. More challenging topics requiring pre-requisite understanding can be found in *Clocks in Rocks, Primary Astronomy* and *Statistical Literacy*. Feedback from pre-service teachers and practising teachers indicated that, with their growing realisation of the content requirements of the new *Australian Curriculum: Science* and *Australian Curriculum: Technologies* documents (Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority, 2016a, 2016b), there is an increasing need to grapple with science content—at least in an area of personal interest.

The introductory module *Discovering Real Science Through Big History* builds a grand narrative upon which students can develop an informed overview of the history of the universe. Student feedback consistently emphasises their appreciation of access to the considerable resources of the Big History Project (www.bighistoryproject.com) via their participation in this module. The module introduces the three foundation concepts of the ORS project: scientific questioning, fair testing and data integrity.

At the middle years and junior secondary levels, modules include *Fundamentals of Weather and Climate* and *Light*. *Statistical Literacy Secondary, Smart Budgeting Secondary* and *Investing and Protecting* add numeracy capability to investigative and critiquing skills to complement science modules.

The most advanced scientific content levels are in *Bioluminescence* and *Life and Death of Stars*, and the mathematics modules *Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future* and *2 Infinity and Beyond*. The former engages students in mathematical modelling approaches to scientific problem solving, while *2 Infinity and Beyond* develops abstract thinking and abstraction processes.

Inquiry skills in mathematics and science are introduced to students via module design focussing on the backwards-faded scaffolding approach of Slater, Slater & Shaner (2008). Skill development begins in *Consumer Chemistry* where students engage in guided inquiry activities involving testing of materials. *Sound* provides the opportunity for students to develop an investigation that responds to a specific inquiry-based question. The activities developed for *The Living Laboratory* specifically scaffold students to develop their own investigation into their local environment.
After establishing the inquiry process through investigative activity, Statistical Literacy directs students to sources of ‘big data’ online to conduct an investigation of their own. The secondary modules Telescopes, Colour Imaging and the Cosmos and Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars scaffold student involvement to build an astronomy inquiry and use the resources of the world’s radio telescopes to focus on a specific part of the sky. Students are then able to access this data to continue their inquiry.

Some modules specifically explored alternative pathways to student engagement with science. Inspired by Plants was developed to support science engagement through the arts, and Science in the Community was developed to support student engagement through actual involvement in community science events. Use of the 6Es model (see section 2.2.3) provided opportunities for student creativity in the ‘elaborate’ phase of some modules, including Sound and The Living Laboratory.

Communicating mathematics and science to other audiences is a fundamental pedagogical activity for all pre-service teachers. Activities that build student capabilities in science communication were developed across Sound, Science in the Community and Consumer Chemistry. Pedagogical skills in developing action-oriented teaching programs and events were the focus of Human Impact. Design thinking and a critical approach to its teaching is developed throughout Intelligent Materials.

More details on the scope and contents of each module are provided in Appendices F and G, respectively.

2.2 Module design

2.2.1 Design process

Scientists, mathematicians, educators and learning designers and other stakeholders were consulted on the content, structure and design of the ORS modules. Consensus rested on the development of stand-alone, online modules equivalent to 36 hours of learning and teaching activities, or about one-third of an undergraduate unit.

The ORS module evaluation checklist (Appendix E) was developed as a set of criteria to identify the core features of an ORS module. The checklist has been used as an internal evaluation instrument to interrogate developing modules against the intended outcomes. The checklist is organised under four big ideas, which research shows are major factors in scientific understanding and representation (Bybee, 2009; Hubber & Tytler, in press; Nichols, Stevenson, Hedberg & Gillies, 2016; Tytler, Prain, Hubber & Waldrip, 2013):

1. Learning activities with feedback
2. Authenticity
3. Representation of ideas
4. Conceptual correctness and clarity


2.2.2 Scope of module content

Module content that would highlight current scientific and mathematics research and be relevant to pre-service teachers was identified in discussions with scientists from across the range of mathematics and science disciplines. The scope and sequence of the modules is illustrated in Appendix F.

The 17 science modules were clustered around biology, chemistry, earth sciences, physics and astronomy. Six of the eight mathematics modules were drawn from new mathematical topics for which pre-service primary teachers are not typically well prepared (statistical literacy, financial literacy, critical numeracy). A summary of the ORS modules is provided in Appendix G.

2.2.3 ORS module design framework

The ORS module design framework differs from other curriculum and resource design models because it integrates the authentic scientific processes practised by real scientists using real-world applications. It transforms traditional science curriculum and resource design models by engaging the student in the elucidation process of scientific investigation and explanation, including modelling and use of technological tools. The ORS module design checklist (see Appendix H) provides an overview of the components of the design process for the ORS modules.

The ORS design framework was built upon the 5Es instructional model (Bybee, Taylor, Gardner, Van Scotter, Carlson Powell, Westbrook & Landes, 2006): engage, explore, explain, elaborate, evaluate. This model is used in science teaching throughout Australia and promoted through the Australian Academy of Science Primary Connections program (Primary Connections, 2008). However, the ORS project has integrated an additional ‘E’—elucidate—that permeates the dynamic and interrelated processes of the model (Figure 2.1).

![Figure 2.1: The ORS 6Es Teaching and Learning Model](image-url)
The elucidation process builds on the students’ explanations by illustrating and clarifying their reasoning through examples or cases of the authentic scientific findings. This sixth process provides the link to real science as emphasised by the Office of the Chief Scientist: “the issue is that science is not taught as it is actually practised: hypothesis, experimentation, observation, interpretation and debate.” (Chubb, 2012, p. 9). The content and activities embedded in the modules emphasise explicit links with real scientists, their work and a ‘scientific’ view of the world, often through the use of video excerpts, student-led investigations and web-based resources.

2.2.4 Target audience

Modules were targeted at pre-service teachers in upper primary and transition to secondary schooling (Years 4–8) and in upper secondary mathematics and science.

These modules focused on three areas: student engagement with mathematics and science content; the introduction of inquiry skills; and the achievement of critical numeracy. The latter was informed by a survey of critical numeracy skills and attitudes of several large cohorts of pre-service teachers using an adapted form of the numeracy instrument developed byForgasz, Leader, Clemens and Geiger (2015).

Modules designed for secondary mathematics and science pre-service teachers acknowledged their commitment to and enthusiasm for communicating mathematics and science and provided unique opportunities to work with scientists on projects that generate real data including possibilities for engaging their students in research.

2.3 Module development

2.3.1 Development process

The collaborative module development process—involving educators, scientists and mathematicians, and engagement with professionals—is a dynamic, iterative process, unique to the ORS model of engagement. The design process involved six phases: module development, trialling, evaluation, analysis, enhancement and revision (Figure 2.2). A full illustration of the complexities of the design, development and review process is included in Appendix I.

![Figure 2.2: ORS module development process](image)
2.3.2 Learning management system and design tools

The online learning environment for the modules was created using the Moodle learning management system (LMS), which allowed for blended or online delivery. The modules use a range of Moodle tools, including discussion forums, quizzes, blogs, assignments and lessons organised in topics (see Appendix J).

External resources such as YouTube clips, online collaborative tools (e.g. Google suite, Mindmeister), online applications (e.g. Money Smart), portal sites and other downloads were integrated to add variety of media and to expose students to these and other possible resources they could use.

2.4 Module trialling and evaluation

Over 70 trials were conducted across the seven partner universities between 2014 and 2016. These trials formed part of a contractual requirement of project partners to ensure module evaluation data was systematically collected from a range of teacher education programs and from varying perspectives, including scientists and mathematicians.

Trials varied in terms of the amount of module content trialled (e.g. only trialling one topic from a module consisting of five topics), level of integration into units (e.g. required ‘hurdle task’ or voluntary participation), and trial setting (e.g. full cohort enrolled in trial or individuals working through the module in a ‘workshop’ approach). Many trials were limited by the university program approval and the state teacher accreditation requirements of the partner institution teacher education programs.

2.4.1 Data collection methods

Data was collected from three distinct sources:

- module trials with pre-service teachers (both primary and secondary)
- reviews by teacher education professionals (both in-service and teacher educators including tutors) and other education, mathematics and science academics
- module enhancement workshop reviews by stakeholders, educators and other academics, scientists, mathematicians and educational designers.

Data from pre-service teacher module trials was collected using an anonymous online or paper survey that was completed at the end of each module. Tutors provided feedback through a different survey. Both sets of data (quantitative and qualitative analyses) are included in each of the trial reports. In all, 18 of the 25 modules were trialled by pre-service teachers.

All 25 modules were reviewed by teacher education professionals (including some Master of Education students) and/or scientists in the appropriate discipline. A module evaluation for teacher education professionals survey form (Appendix K) was used to collect this feedback. Academics reviewing newly developed modules before trialling provided an ORS module reviewer evaluation (Appendix L).
2.4.2 Pre-service teacher module trials and evaluation

Student evaluations via an online survey of the modules were collected from pre-service teacher education programs. From 70 trials that were commenced, 52 were completed (Table 2.1). Full trial data is provided in Appendix M.

Table 2.1: Summary of completed pre-service teacher module trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Trials</th>
<th>Started</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Evaluated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary maths</td>
<td>Gateway to Numeracy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smart Budgeting Primary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistical Literacy Primary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary science</td>
<td>Clocks in Rocks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumer Chemistry</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspired by Plants</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Astronomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sound</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Living Laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary maths</td>
<td>2 Infinity and Beyond</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modelling the Present—Predicting the Future</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smart Budgeting Secondary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistical Literacy Secondary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary science</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Weather and Climate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K–12 science</td>
<td>Discovering Real Science through Big History</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Impact</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science in the Community</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3506</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typically, module trials were delivered either exclusively online, or using a mixed mode where online delivery was supplemented by face-to-face tutor support. A small number of trials took place as small group workshops. Most trials took place over a three to six-week period, or across an entire university semester. The partner institutions were mainly interested in trialling the science modules as these fulfilled a specific and prioritised need within their teacher education programs.

Participants had access to face-to-face or online support from tutors, typically from the same partner institution as the participants. Members of the ORS team also offered online and some face-to-face technical support to participants and tutors.

Most modules were received positively by pre-service teachers. However, it was found that pre-service teachers were not sufficiently prepared to work with the scientific content or the underlying inquiry-based approaches of the Australian curricula and state syllabi. There were a few modules that were more extensively modified following the reviews. An exemplar report of the Consumer Chemistry trials is provided in Appendix N.
Primary mathematics module trials focused on core critical numeracy skills, financial literacy and statistical literacy, which had not been addressed within the pre-service teachers’ school preparation. Trial reports indicated that the pre-service teachers were challenged by the content and processes, such as using a spreadsheet. After working through the problems they were more confident in working with and representing numerical data.

There were fewer trials for the secondary mathematics and science modules due to:

- the more advanced modules were completed later in the development cycle
- the highly sophisticated knowledge and requirements of the modules
- fewer students were in the pre-service teacher education programs studying higher level mathematics and science for their degree.

*Modelling the Present—Predicting the Future* and *2 Infinity and Beyond* were well received by the pre-service teachers but did require students to have specialist mathematical knowledge.

### 2.4.3 Teacher education professional expert reviews

In total, 110 (77 university-based and 33 school-based) teacher education professional comprehensive reviews were completed across all 25 modules, with the number of reviews per module varying from one to nine.

Teacher education professionals provided an overall rating of the modules using a six-point Likert scale (1 = ‘very poor’ to 6 = ‘very good’). Generally, responses to the science modules were more positive than for mathematics although this varied according to the item. The module cluster with the most positive mean was the primary science cluster, which consisted of *Consumer Chemistry, Clocks in Rocks, Inspired by Plants, The Living Laboratory, Primary Astronomy* and *Sound*.

Teacher education professionals also provided qualitative responses to the question underpinning the ORS approach: “*How effective do you think the module is in improving pre-service teacher understanding of scientific/mathematical concepts?*” For each module, these responses were combined and analysed to give a ‘grade’ of the effectiveness of the module (Figure 2.3).
Figure 2.3: Teacher education professionals’ response to module effectiveness.

The grade, calculated by examining the proportion of positive to negative responses for the survey, gives an overall numerical gauge of the attitudes of the respondents. For example, a grade of 100 per cent indicates that all responses were positive, and a grade of 60 per cent indicates that 60 per cent of the responses were positive.

Teacher education professionals thought that many of the modules would effectively improve pre-service teacher understanding of scientific or mathematical concepts. Collectively this data (Appendix O) provided useful feedback for module enhancement from the perspective of an important group of stakeholders.

2.4.4 Module enhancement workshop reviews

Enhancement workshops were conducted to bring module development teams and other stakeholders together to review and provide feedback on the modules. Within these workshops, groups led by module developers focused collaboratively on how the modules met the ORS core concepts and design features. At least one enhancement workshop review was completed for each of the 25 modules.

During these workshops, module developers led small groups of stakeholders to review each module using a survey instrument developed for this purpose (see Appendix P). Stakeholders were also invited to individually complete the same survey instrument prior to the workshop.

As a group, workshop participants responded to four items designed to gauge their perceptions of the module using a six-point Likert scale (1 = ‘not at all’ to 6 = ‘extremely well’). Responses for each module cluster, based on stages of learning, indicate that workshop reviews were mostly very positive (Figure 2.4).
2.5 Module enhancement

The module review process described in section 2.4 informed module enhancement, which involved three processes:

- Mapping the module against the ORS design and framework, to ensure the final module design fulfilled the original design brief (based on design criteria) and met the project goals.

- Reviewing learning designs to ensure the modules achieved intended learning outcomes and met the needs of the intended audience.

- Quality assurance, to ensure the resulting set of modules were consistent in presentation and function, fulfilled the ORS design brief and were free from scientific errors at the point of testing before release.

The review process led to important enhancements. For example, initial reviews of some primary science modules indicated that the tutors needed more support to effectively implement the modules as part of the pre-service primary program. In response, tutor guides and learning strategy support were provided for modules trialled after the initial group. Work undertaken by the ORS module development teams after the initial trials also addressed the background and required knowledge of the pre-service teachers such that they were more able to complete high levels of conceptual understanding and engagement in later trials.
2.6 Summary

Analysis of the data indicates that the ORS modules were successful in developing pre-service teachers’ knowledge and understanding of mathematical and scientific concepts and engaging them in authentic problem solving contexts.

It is clear that the trialling context affected the pre-service teachers’ experiences and perceptions of the modules. The modules were implemented most effectively when they were integrated into existing teacher education units, and when completion of the module was acknowledged for credit as part of the pre-service teacher’s grade. Pre-service teachers reported that they enjoyed the practical nature of the learning experiences. Tutors and teacher education professionals also recommended that the modules align with primary and secondary curricula, enabling clear connections to be made between the modules and classroom applications.
Chapter 3: Specialist professional experience

A unique feature of the ORS project was to develop and evaluate a specialist professional experience model to enable pre-service teachers to focus on science and/or mathematics with support from a school mentor teacher and an ORS specialist advisor.

This was a direct response to previous findings that pre-service teachers in primary schools rarely observed or taught science during their professional experiences, and that most of the teaching of maths/science they observed or practised was traditional and teacher-centred (Campbell & Chittleborough, 2014).

3.1 Key features of the ORS professional experience model

The ORS specialist professional experience model included several unique elements:

- Selection of pre-service teachers who expressed a particular interest in completing a science/mathematics-focused professional experience
- Selection of mentor teachers who agreed to support the pre-service teachers in teaching of science/mathematics
- Support from an ORS specialist science/mathematics advisor
- Focus on the 6E inquiry-based approach, and access to ORS modules.

3.2 Implementation, trials and refinement

3.2.1 Implementation procedures

Implementation varied between trials but generally included the following:

- Pre-service teachers were invited to submit expressions of interest.
- Schools known to ORS project staff to have a particular interest or expertise in teaching science and/or mathematics were approached to participate in the program and to nominate appropriate mentor teachers.
- Pre-service teachers and mentor teachers were selected, matched and advised about the ORS project aims and requirements.
- The specialist ORS advisor provided support for pre-service teachers during the professional experience and visited pre-service teachers on site.
- Mentor teachers completed a specific one-page report (see Appendix Q) focusing explicitly on their pre-service teachers’ competencies.
- Mentor teachers, pre-service teachers and the specialist university advisors were interviewed at the conclusion of their professional experience period.

A report of implementation issues is provided in Appendix R.
3.2.2 Trials

From 2014 to 2016 five specialist professional experience trials were conducted: four in primary schools, and one small-scale trial in secondary schools (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Summary of participant numbers in professional experience trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial 1</td>
<td>Trial 2</td>
<td>Trial 3</td>
<td>Trial 4</td>
<td>Trial 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor teachers</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3 Refinement

As trials progressed, smaller numbers of pre-service teachers and schools were included.

Not all mentor teachers and schools could commit to involvement in multiple professional experience trials within a year due to the structure of alternating semesters of science and history/geography in school programs. In later professional experiences the criteria widened from seeking ‘expert’ science mentor teachers to recruiting those committed to supporting a pre-service teacher who wanted to focus on teaching science or mathematics.

Clarity about expectations was enhanced by provision of an after-school ORS orientation meeting. The goals and expectations of the specialist professional experience regarding a focus on science and/or mathematics were explicitly outlined, and mentor teachers and pre-service teachers were able to meet their professional experience partner if available. The modules were introduced and their place in the project was clarified.

3.2.4 Evaluation of the trials

A pre-program survey of the pre-service teachers’ competence and confidence in science, using the Science Teaching Efficacy Belief Instrument (STEBI) (Riggs & Knochs, 1990) and a post-program written survey, provided in-depth evidence of the change process. There were two different formal assessments provided by mentor teachers and the university advisors. Pre-service teachers’ learning plans/units of work, student learning data, and reflections provided supporting evidence of the impact of the program. Semi-structured interviews with pre-service teachers, mentor teachers, and the ORS specialist university advisors also contributed to the evaluation process. Interview questions are included in Appendix S.
Mentor teachers reported on pre-service teacher competencies at the conclusion of the 20-day professional experience, making an overall assessment using a specific ORS mentor teacher professional experience evaluation report (Appendix Q). This ranked pre-service teachers on 15 competencies as *Yet to be demonstrated, Working towards, Demonstrated or Exceeds expectations*. Mentor teacher assessments of pre-service teachers on three key science competencies are reported.

The specialist ORS university advisor completed a report after visiting the classroom and observing at least one lesson. A standard university pre-service teacher report based on the Australian Professional Standards for Teachers (issued by the Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership (AITSL)) at similar levels was completed. Four relevant elements of the Standard 2 section are reported.

### 3.3 Professional experience trial results

#### 3.3.1 Primary professional experience trials

An important result from the professional experience trials was the increase from 2015 to 2016 in the percentage of pre-service teachers who were assessed as ‘*Exceeded expectations*’ in science teaching competencies and subject and teaching knowledge.

*Table 3.2: Comparison of two professional experience trial cohorts – as assessed by mentor teachers and specialist university advisor in Semester 1 2015 and Semester 1 2016.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Mentor teacher reports</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Specialist university advisor reports</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-service teacher (n)</td>
<td>% exceeding expectations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-service teacher (n)</td>
<td>% exceeding expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Science teaching competencies assessed by mentor teachers**

- **Competency 1:** Uses scientific methodology and higher order tasks (e.g. Hypothesising, predicting, observing, fair testing, data collection, etc.)
- **Competency 2:** Develops ‘rich’ science tasks
- **Competency 3:** Assesses science concepts and processes

**Elements of AITSL professional standard 2 assessed by ORS advisor**

- **Element 1:** Demonstrate knowledge, & understanding of the concepts, substance & structure of the content & teaching strategies of the teaching area
- **Element 2:** Organise content into an effective learning & teaching sequence
- **Element 3:** Use curriculum, assessment & reporting knowledge to design learning sequences
- **Element 4:** Implement strategies for ICT to expand learning opportunities for students
The results, summarised in Table 3.2, suggest that the skills and competencies of students have generally been raised, and demonstrate that pre-service teachers did use a ‘real’ science approach and engaged in higher order thinking and rich tasks.

In meeting the AITSL standards (elements) pre-service teachers demonstrated a substantial improvement in demonstrating knowledge, and understanding of the concepts, substance and structure of the content and teaching strategies (Element 1) and organising content into an effective learning and teaching sequence (Element 2). These data were supported by observation notes, pre-service teachers’ reflections and student work samples.

Participant comments supported the quantitative review. The following are indicative of comments received:

From pre-service teachers:

"I got to teach science, because in all my other prac, they actually didn’t do science at all during the time I was at the school. I never saw a science lesson. They never taught a science lesson. So that was good that I actually got to see a teacher actually focusing on science." – Pre-service teacher, 2015

"In my first prac last year I was just absolutely terrified after teaching maths and science because I just didn’t know what I was doing ... [in this prac] I felt like I was more confident and I felt more excited to teach maths and science and I want to teach it just 24/7 now." – Pre-service teacher, 2016

From mentor teachers:

"Primarily because of this Opening Real Science prac that we’ve had over the last few years it’s changed my outlook in science and therefore we in Year 6 this year ... that’s been our main focus for the last six months. So that’s Year 6, but the rest of the school was just - get a unit, most probably don’t finish it; would barely touch it." – Mentor teacher, 2015 and 2016

"A major positive was the support from the university advisor ... he spoke to the class and worked closely with us. He gave us ideas ... I asked him about changing how we teach science here and he spent time with me ... He has been a wonderful advisor and a major part of the program." – Mentor teacher, 2015 and 2016

"Absolutely. I learned a lot from her. Not only her energy and engagement with children, the way she explained things, she was very collaborative, the way she set key inquiry questions for children. My teaching practice has changed – I ask more questions the way she modelled ... I am doing more of that." – Mentor teacher 2014–2016

"The big positive advantage was the focus on science. I found it challenging as I lacked confidence and also found it hard to fit into the crowded week, so being forced to do it helped over time. We tried different ways and I got to try ways that were not teacher-directed." – Mentor teacher, 2015

Mentor teacher and university advisor comments are provided in Appendix T.
3.3.2 Secondary professional experience trial

The small-scale secondary mathematics and science trial identified different issues and pressures on implementation to those of the primary specialist professional experience.

Secondary pre-service teachers are being trained as specialist science or mathematics teachers, unlike the primary pre-service teachers. Therefore, the challenges for them do not relate so much to confidence and competence, but more to pedagogy, encouraging an inquiry-based approach and establishing authentic contexts for learning.

Evaluation data suggest that the ORS professional experience was successful in meeting these needs. One mentor teacher saw the focus on ‘hands-on’ science (with demonstrations and experiments) as a major benefit, assisting pre-service teachers to “support theory with practice”, an element seen to be missing in previous professional experience periods. ORS pre-service teachers were judged to have connected lessons more to real life (i.e. ‘real science’), planned together more collaboratively than in the past, and successfully engaged some quite challenging students through a more active inquiry approach to lessons. It was noted that other in-service science teachers also joined in the discussions.

The input of the specialist ORS university advisor, who provided support by email and visited each student several times, was seen as a particular advantage, as was the self-nomination of pre-service teachers for the program.
Chapter 4: Project outcomes and impact

The ORS project outcomes and impact are described in terms of three main outputs:

1. Developing and evaluating a new model of specialist primary science professional experience (ETMST Priority 3)
2. Developing and embedding a new pre-service teacher education curriculum through 25 learning modules (ETMST Priority 3)
3. Developing a collaborative network (ETMST Priority 1)

4.1 A new model of specialist professional experience

The ORS professional experience model was well-received, with clear benefits for pre-service teachers, mentor teachers and schools.

Pre-service teacher participants cited greater confidence, commitment to science teaching and an inquiry-based approach, and excitement about teaching generally. Participants also took up opportunities for broader engagement in science networks set up by the ORS program and university advisor (e.g. volunteering at the Australian Museum), promoting their broader knowledge and ongoing interest in science. Both the quality and quantity of science learning was significantly increased. The focus of lessons extended beyond regular topics embedded in school plans to units of work on relevant scientific investigations, often linked to collecting and analysing data.

Mentor teachers valued the focus on science (in particular) and some learned new scientific knowledge or pedagogical strategies. Those mentor teachers not claiming to be science experts noted greater student engagement and participation in science lessons, and also believed that their students were learning ‘real’ scientific concepts. Several very experienced mentor teachers believed that they had learned a great deal from working with their pre-service teacher, while mentor teachers without particular expertise often gained significantly from participation. The resulting establishment of networks between pre-service teachers, ORS schools and university staff has been a positive outcome that will continue beyond the project.

4.2 A new pre-service teacher education curriculum

The role of the ORS modules collectively in promoting and engaging pre-service teachers in authentic mathematics and science is still being articulated, and in particular an evaluation of the explicit role of quantitative skills within scientific inquiry is needed (Matthews, Belward, Coady, Rylands & Simbag, 2012).

Broadly, the ORS trialling has indicated that modules are viable, and effective for enhancing pre-service teacher knowledge and inquiry-based skills. However, finely articulated aims concerning approaches to pre-service teacher engagement in authentic scientific problems are essential as modules become embedded in teacher education programs.
4.2.1 Embedding ORS modules across teacher education programs

Five of the seven ORS university partners embedded the modules in varying ways to accommodate their teacher education program requirements and resource limitations (summarised in Table 4.1).

**Table 4.1: Embedded ORS material at partner institutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>How ORS modules have been embedded</th>
<th>ORS modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>ORS material embedded into a range of newly designed units approved for teacher education programs. Activities from some of the ORS modules have been embedded into tutorials for primary pre-service teachers in the compulsory science and technology methodology units. Activities from other ORS modules have been adapted for the compulsory secondary pedagogical units.</td>
<td>Consumer Chemistry: Gateway to Numeracy; Smart Budgeting Primary; Statistical Literacy Primary; Discovering Real Science through Big History; Frontiers of Real Science; Clocks in Rocks; Fundamentals of Climate and Weather; Sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Sturt University</td>
<td>ORS material used in science literacy unit for pre-service teachers.</td>
<td>Discovering Real Science through Big History; Consumer Chemistry; The Living Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Catholic University</td>
<td>ORS material incorporated into two science education units.</td>
<td>Sound; The Living Laboratory; Consumer Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney University</td>
<td>ORS material embed into new and existing undergraduate pathways to teaching units.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Notre Dame Australia</td>
<td>ORS material is the basis for a science education unit.</td>
<td>Discovering Real Science through Big History; The Living Laboratory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Re-development of ORS modules for accredited professional learning

The plan for the adoption of ORS modules for professional learning for in-service teachers within the school sector has been developed in collaboration with the NSW Education Standards Authority from the early stages of ORS.

To date, some professional learning workshops using ORS modules have been given ‘Proficient’ level accreditation by the NSW Education Standards Authority. The proposal for professional learning accreditation of selected and adapted ORS modules in the Macquarie University teacher education program, is under review by the NSW Education Standards Authority.

In-service teacher professional learning workshops based on ORS have already provided welcome opportunities for developing professional networks between students, teachers, education researchers and scientists. Pre- and in-service teachers have reported their enthusiasm for engaging with professional scientists: effective
relationships have been forged and follow-up workshops are planned. The upscaling of the ORS professional learning strategies has been planned within the scope of the ORS dissemination plan for ORS partners and with regulatory authorities.

4.3 Collaborative networks

Networks are about people. This project has been very successful in connecting scientists, mathematicians, statisticians and teacher education professionals—both within the project team and with external parties. The extent of collaboration within ORS has grown substantially over the project lifetime, both organically, and through concerted and directed effort. This collaboration exists at many levels and in diverse forms: the project leadership team sought to bring together university and external partner scientists, mathematicians and educators, pre-service and in-service teachers, together with representatives of the various stakeholder groups.

4.3.1 Collaboration between scientists, mathematicians and educators

Each of the universities has reported improved communication between disciplines through this project. The intermingling of people involved in scientific research with the teacher education professionals has led to curriculum changes and more interplay between departments—which will improve the teaching in the science faculties as well as influence teacher education to engage with real science.

Collaboration between scientists, mathematicians and educators is most clearly evident in module development, and is showcased in the module Modelling the Present–Predicting the Past. This module involved distinct contributions from mathematicians, scientists and educators, and featured real interdisciplinary problems and contexts (See Appendix U).

Beyond the modules, there are many examples in which ORS project scientists have delivered (science) content to pre-service teachers during tutorials, laboratory sessions and customised workshops. As notable examples, ORS Research Fellow, Dr Cormac Purcell, designed and delivered hands-on workshops to 40 pre-service teachers and 26 in-service teachers, while Professor Pask (ORS physics) educated pre-service teachers on the topic of light during a ‘Science behind the Art’ workshop at the Macquarie Art Gallery. There have also been ongoing joint dissemination activities such as collaborative conference and seminar presentations and publications (see Appendix X).

The strong links forged during the project will continue, and will enable sustainability strategies and outcomes to be communicated beyond the life of the ORS project.

4.3.2 Collaboration with industry organisations

ORS engaged three non-academic industry partner organisations, each of which made important contributions to the project:

- Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope made their remote telescope network available to pre-service teachers undertaking ORS modules.
• The Australian Astronomical Observatory provided videos and other materials that were incorporated into several modules. This allowed the ORS to capitalise on the work of Dr Amanda Bauer and colleagues, which resulted in authentic and engaging content. In addition, Dr Fred Watson and Mr Rob Hollow served on the ORS Reference Committee.

• CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science contributions included participation in workshops, critiquing of astronomy modules, and sharing of initiatives to support training of pre-service and in-service teachers.

Other organisations and agencies that have been involved in the project include those spanning science, astronomy, the arts, museums, professional associations and government. From the outset, collaborative partnerships were seen as pivotal to the success of ORS, and indeed to the long-term prospects for ETMST. In particular the collaboration with the CSIRO provided access to resources that would have not been possible without their input in the ORS module development and other activities.

4.3.3 The ORS Fellowship: ‘boundary crossing’ between science and education

A cross-faculty Fellowship in Science (Physics and Astronomy) and Education funded by Macquarie University was created at Macquarie University, with the purpose of melding scientific and educational expertise to support module development and engage with pre-service teachers. The leadership of two ‘real scientists’, Dr Heath Jones and Dr Cormac Purcell, was critical in designing module content as relevant to scientific practice: hypothesis, experiment, observation, interpretation and debate.

4.3.4 Cross-Faculty (Science and Education) Working Group

A legacy of ORS at Macquarie University has been the formation of a cross-faculty working group. Chaired by the Head of Mathematics, the group will continue to review the role of mathematics and science in the primary and secondary teacher education programs. This collaboration will provide students with STEM-focused options for primary mathematics and science specialisations and an improved integrated Bachelor of Science/Bachelor of Education (BSc/BEd) double degree.

The model can be adapted at universities where education students can access units of study from established science faculties, and similar groups have also been partially developed at Western Sydney University and Charles Sturt University.

Developing and embedding effective cross-faculty relationships relies on executive-level support from Deans and Teaching and Learning Program Directors, and the engagement of committed representatives from science departments and education departments. It is then important to establish terms of reference and processes for reporting the activities for program review. Ongoing success relies on revising program scope and delivery as required in response to cross-faculty review and evaluation of student engagement.
Chapter 5: Lessons, recommendations and dissemination

5.1 Lessons learned

Over the course of the project, a number of lessons were learned that will inform future initiatives to support the development of mathematics and science capabilities in pre-service teachers.

Allow sufficient lead time for approval and accreditation. Reconceptualising mathematics and science teacher education curricula for a range of degree structures is a complex process that takes planning and substantial lead time for approval through education faculties and universities. Approval processes for University programs vary widely, as do their strategic directions for teaching and learning. The various requirements of teacher education accreditation authorities need to be taken into account.

Teacher educators and tutors collaborated well with the project team though some required additional support with the integration into their education programs. A further challenge will be the on-going evaluation to demonstrate impact at pre-service and in-service level and in turn on student learning in schools.

Online learning opportunities can engage students provided that they are given appropriate support at program level. Another challenge focused on the online delivery which raised some questions about the implementation of practical experiences utilising scientific (and mathematical inquiry) approaches. The challenge was to design the ORS modules in a way that would require pre-service teachers to engage in their own scientific explorations with an expanded view of what constituted real mathematics and science. The use of real cases through videos and active experimentation and articulation of scientific and mathematical concepts gave impetus for pre-service teachers to develop new knowledge and more relevant scientific approaches in their teaching.

5.2 Step changes and recommendations

Seven step changes were identified through the ORS project experience, leading to the following recommendations for enhancing the mathematics and science capability of pre-service teachers.

[1] Effective development of specialist competent primary mathematics and science teachers can be achieved through a collaborative ORS model.

- Implement specialist professional experience in primary mathematics and science or STEM, with a minimum of 20 hours’ experience over a four-week block (e.g. a science lesson each day). Support from an expert science/mathematics advisor (seconded from school systems or part of a university program) and a mentor teacher supportive of STEM initiatives are essential.
• Recognise the different challenges for primary and secondary pre-service teachers in the design of teacher education programs.

[2] Capabilities and capacity of pre-service primary teachers can be significantly improved and expanded through strategic changes at higher education level.

• Prioritise within (Australian Council of Deans of Education and Deans of Science) higher education academic programs and strategic planning the review and expansion of mathematics and science education within primary teacher education programs, coordinated with the recruitment of specialist educators with expertise in mathematics and science.
• Train and appoint mathematics and science tertiary education experts, recruited from science or mathematics or related fields, as ‘boundary crossers’ with effective experience in scientific pedagogy.

[3] Systematic evaluation of primary and secondary (mathematics and science) pre-service teacher education programs can inform the scope and direction of much needed change.

• Re-design mathematics and science pre-service programs from the ground up based on systematic evaluation data of the outcomes of existing programs and the potential input from science faculties (and mathematics, engineering and ICT disciplines) and collaborative networks. The groundwork has been laid, but more work is needed in academic program review and development, and strategies for effective teaching and learning.
• Monitor the progress of specialist primary science teacher education graduates from their early career stage with a priority to review their impact on teaching and learning and their potential leadership role in school systems.


• Establish effective collaborative committee and program development structures within universities where science and education faculties and departments (such as a cross-faculty working group) work together in the development, implementation (and possibly joint teaching) and evaluation of STEM programs.

[5] Pre-service teachers can successfully apply mathematics and science knowledge and processes gained through academic study offered by faculties and departments of mathematics and science.

• Develop specialist primary mathematics and science education programs that tailor mathematics and science academic units and coordinate their delivery.
[6] Authentic scientific contexts can transform and enrich pre-service programs with the collaboration of scientists engaging pre-service teachers in scientific process and mathematical thinking.

- Integrate real science contexts and problems, including industry or community-based field work or contexts, into mathematics and science teacher education programs.
- Shift focus from traditional pedagogies aligned with syllabus content to engaging in contexts of authentic and practical applications of mathematics and science.

[7] Cross-institutional cooperation and collaboration can facilitate improved sharing of resources and expertise.

- Facilitate new ways of integrating of content and pedagogy that reach beyond the level of individual institutions where the ETMST collaborative networks and resources can be shared, particularly where some teacher education programs do not have direct support from scientists and mathematicians.

5.3 Dissemination of project outputs and knowledge

ORS project outputs and knowledge have been disseminated to different audiences including the higher education sector, school systems, teacher educators, primary and secondary mathematics and science professionals, professional associations and other stakeholder groups via a range of publications and activities.

Two key tools in this regard have been the ORS project website (www.educ.mq.edu.au/education_research/opening_real_science), which provides an overview of project activities, news, events and outputs, and the ORS brochure (Appendix W), which served as the primary source of marketing for the project.

The project produced 11 articles, published in both peer-reviewed and professional (education) publications. In addition, 14 conference papers were produced, with some reported at international meetings and forums.

Particular attention was paid to dissemination at meetings of the Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education, and the Australian Council of Deans of Education. An ORS submission to the Teacher Education Ministerial Advisory Group was contributed in 2015.

A comprehensive list of key dissemination outputs is contained in Appendix X: Summary of ORS project dissemination activities, Appendix Y: Publications and conferences, and Appendix Z: Other resources.
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Appendix B: ORS intended project logic statement

‘Real’ science is practised science using the processes of hypothesis, experimentation, observation, interpretation and debate.

1 Opening Real Science (ORS) is a collaborative partnership between Macquarie University, University of Western Sydney, Charles Sturt University, Australian Catholic University, Canberra University, The University of Notre Dame Australia, Australian Astronomical Observatory, CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science and Los Cumbres Observatory Global Telescopes, supported by funding from the Office for Learning and Teaching (OLT) Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers (ETMST) grant scheme.

2 Opening Real Science will:
   - Improve content knowledge and develop new teaching practices among graduating teachers.
   - Develop new levels of cooperation between academics, practising mathematicians, scientists and teachers.
   - Develop innovative curricula and pedagogies in pre-service and in-service primary and secondary teacher education.
   - Support a specialised mathematics and science practicum involving teams of pre-service student and school-based mentors.

3 Inputs
   - The module development team will align resources with AITSL and ACARA standards in collaboration with other key stakeholders – practising teachers, mathematicians and scientists.
   - The partner universities will trial and evaluate the ORS program and train ORS school-based mentors.
   - School-based mentors and practising scientists will support pre-service teachers’ in primary and secondary Initial Teacher Education programs.
   - Partner institutions provide facilities for flexible delivery of the ORS program.

4 Actions
   - Authentic science will be embedded in the design of the ORS task-based resources.
   - Newly-developed ORS modules will be integrated into existing teacher education programs.
   - School-based mentors will trial and evaluate the ORS modules at varying levels.
   - AITSL will accredit the ORS modules for pre-service and in-service primary and secondary teacher levels.
5 Outputs

- The ORS program and resources for pre-service and in-service teacher education.
- Specialist primary and secondary mathematics and science teachers.
- A network of ORS practising mathematicians and scientists.
- A network of ORS accredited school-based mentors in both primary and secondary schools.
- An ORS website with links to other educational resources.
- An ORS teacher educator network.

6 Outcomes

- Increased cooperation within and between universities, schools, practising mathematicians and scientists, and stakeholders.
- A “real science” approach to pre-service and in-service teaching.
- New pre-service mathematics and science teacher education program.
- Improved teacher capability in mathematics and science.
- Increased capacity of mathematics and science teachers at primary and secondary levels.

7 The Opening Real Science legacy

- Open access to the ORS program and authentic science.
- Sustained cooperation between educators and practising scientists and mathematicians.
- Valuing mathematics and science in Australian society.
- Task and inquiry-based resources that promote authentic science.
Appendix C: ORS evaluation plan

Office for Learning and Teaching

Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers Program

Evaluation Plan – 28 February 2014

MS13-3169: Opening Real Science: Authentic Mathematics and Science Education for Australia
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Evaluation of Opening Real Science

The evaluation of Opening Real Science will take a design research process approach. It will employ mixed methods so that the early designs for the rich tasks will be trialled and evidence for the design decisions collected. It is expected that as more of the modules are trialled with more of the partners less intensive data collection methods will be undertaken. Each evaluation function formative, summative and impact will employ a variety of activities using procedures and tools (instruments) that are appropriate to the size of the evaluation task. The tools have been used in previous projects of the team leaders such the ARC project entitled Space to Grow which focussed on the development of interest in Astronomy with senior students Years 10 to 12. The tools will include measures of efficacy, as major changes will be required in the pre-service and in-service participants.
Project goals

The major goals of the project and evidence of achievement of these goals are to:

1. Improve content knowledge and develop new teaching practices among graduating and in-service teachers using ‘real science’ thinking and processes.

   *Teachers demonstrate content knowledge of mathematics and science to ensure they can competently design and implement real science learning programs. The ORS modules will cover a range of pertinent content areas (topics) and model well designed learning experiences in mathematics and science.*

2. Develop new levels of cooperation between academics, practising mathematicians, scientists and teachers.

   *As each module content and teaching strategies will be derived from academics and professional scientists, the final modules, designed in conjunction with the National Curriculum, will also show evidence of effective collaboration for their success.*

3. Develop innovative curricula and pedagogies in pre-service and in-service primary and secondary teacher education in mathematics and science and inspire their students though embedding mathematics and science practices in the developed resource modules.

   *Innovative curricula and pedagogies are evaluated through various instruments in terms of pre-service and in-service teaching learning, and quality of learning experiences demonstrated in classrooms.*

4. Support a specialised mathematics and science practicum involving teams of pre-service student and school-based mentors.

   *Attracting pre-service and practising teachers to engage in specialist studies and programs in mathematics and science to participate in, and disseminate, applied science practices for improved uptake of these subjects in secondary school.*

These already-endorsed goals form the purposes of the evaluation of the *Opening Real Science* project.

Evaluation audiences

The evidence to be collected will be used to report on project progress to the Office of the Chief Scientist, Office for Learning and Teaching, the project Reference Committee, the project evaluators, and stakeholder partners. Reports will also be made to the University Executive, the participating mentor teachers, pre-service teachers, in-service teachers, state Departments of Education, other participating institutions, and the general public.
systems, community-based organisations, and the collaborating scientists. Secondary audiences will include: national and international professional associations and specialist STEM conferences.

Formative evaluation plan

These questions and strategies will inform the monthly project team evaluation team and Reference Committee meetings (biannual) of the project’s progress. The data will be collected continuously and reported as each module is released for trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes (from IPL)</th>
<th>Formative questions</th>
<th>Evidence sources</th>
<th>Action/strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased cooperation within and between universities, schools, practising mathematicians and scientists and stakeholders.</td>
<td>What cooperation has been achieved at each review point? Is the ORS program module development on time and track? What support is needed to achieve effective collaboration between the partners?</td>
<td>An ORS network of contributing scientists and mathematicians. A network of ORS accredited school-based mentors actively providing teacher guidance and ‘working’ feedback. Survey and interview data to monitor from the mathematicians, scientists &amp; academics on pedagogical ‘lessons’ and changes. Survey and interview educators on mathematical and scientific changes in knowledge and practices while developing, trialling and implementing modules. Survey and interview major stakeholders on the effectiveness of the partnership and cooperation overall.</td>
<td>Review plan will be presented to the Reference Committee and progress assessed. Monthly review and summary of what has been achieved and composition of groups working on modules by the Project Leaders. Regular formal and informal discussions and input on the basic design framework, pedagogies, and scientific processes between stakeholders. Ongoing OLT reporting Scoping Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A ‘real science’ approach to pre-service and in-service teaching.</td>
<td>Does each module employ an authentic approach to the topic and enable the learners to undertake a scientific approach to the module topic? How are the design principles realised in the approach?</td>
<td>Authentic science will be embedded in the design of the ORS task-based resources with input by practising mathematicians and scientists. ORS website with links to other educational, mathematics and science resources. Rich task-based</td>
<td>Each module will be reviewed by the project team and trialled in module-development universities (MQ, UWS, CSU) and trialling universities (ACU, UC, UND). Provide links to examples of science methodology and thinking that relate to the topic for both ORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes (from IPL)</td>
<td>Formative questions</td>
<td>Evidence sources</td>
<td>Action/strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New pre-service mathematics and science teacher education program.</td>
<td>How are the newly-developed ORS modules integrated into existing teacher education programs? How would the modules be relevant to more than one ‘discipline’ and curriculum area?</td>
<td>Learning experiences where ‘real’ methods are documented for assessment by the ORS team and teachers.</td>
<td>Module presenters and audience. School-based mentors will use and evaluate each module.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved teacher capability in mathematics and science.</td>
<td>Do the ORS modules contribute to increasing knowledge and improved teaching practice of the pre-service and in-service teachers?</td>
<td>Interviews of existing, basic misconceptions of the topic content, and where these may have originated. Specialist primary mathematics and science teachers. Classroom practice: evidence of teaching (video data). Assessment of ORS activities in universities, particularly pre-service teachers developing and presenting own lesson plans.</td>
<td>Formative assessment of teacher scientific concepts and authentic rich task design. Measures of the understandings (knowledge and attitudes) held by participants before and after the module.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased capacity of mathematics and science teachers at primary and secondary levels.</td>
<td>Are pre-service participants able to design authentic mathematics and science lessons?</td>
<td>Increased enrolments in Mathematics and Science university education programs. Extra ‘takeup’ of resources and practices within universities and schools.</td>
<td>Follow-up survey and collection of lesson plans and mathematics and science programs from pre-service teachers and in-service participants in professional learning. Follow-up interviews/surveys with mentor teachers on noted impact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sources of data

It is expected that data will be collected from the following:

- Pre-service teachers and from units that chose to use the ORS modules
- Teacher Education program academics
- Mentor teachers in schools
- Principals of schools. How they respond to the changed practicum model and the support of teachers.
- Pupils in the mathematics and science classrooms
- Collaborators who are implementing the modules into their pre-service programs.
- Academics and scientists in high-profile research oriented positions (within the academy and in science organisations)
- OLT project evaluators
- Community collaborators
- Other OLT consortia

Summative evaluation plan

These questions and strategies will summarise the outputs and legacy of the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes (from IPL)</th>
<th>Summative questions</th>
<th>Evidence sources</th>
<th>Action/strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased cooperation within and between universities, schools, practising mathematicians and scientists and stakeholders.</td>
<td>What ORS modules are available? How do they reflect effective collaboration between the partners?</td>
<td>An established ORS network of practising educators, mathematicians and scientists.</td>
<td>Review and summary of what has been achieved from formative reports. Final OLT report Linkages made with existing networks (e.g. ACDS Teaching and Learning Centre <a href="http://www.acds.edu.au/tlcentre/">www.acds.edu.au/tlcentre/</a>, mathematics and science teaching networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A ‘real science’ approach to pre-service and in-service teaching.</td>
<td>Does each module employ an authentic approach to the topic? Can educators devise learning activities that are authentic tasks and reflect real scientific knowledge of how these link to current ‘real-life’ applications?</td>
<td>An ORS website with links to other educational resources. Work samples from teachers and pre-service educators.</td>
<td>School-based mentors will implement ORS across their school and actively support training of mathematics and science teachers Total number of modules and their alignment with the Australian curriculum — mathematics and science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes (from IPL)</td>
<td>Summative questions</td>
<td>Evidence sources</td>
<td>Action/strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New pre-service mathematics and science teacher education program.</td>
<td>Are the newly-developed ORS modules integrated into existing teacher education (or real science) programs?</td>
<td>Specialist primary mathematics and science teachers. Specialised Practicum for Mathematics and Science for Primary</td>
<td>New units will be submitted to each university for accreditation, implementation and review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved teacher capability in mathematics and science.</td>
<td>Do teachers use the ’real science’ approach in their own teaching?</td>
<td>Increased numbers of primary mathematics and science learning activities undertaken in the classroom.</td>
<td>Teacher scientific concepts and authentic rich task design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased capacity of mathematics and science teachers at primary and secondary levels.</td>
<td>Can pre-service participants design and evaluate authentic mathematics and science lessons?</td>
<td>Increased enrolments in Mathematics and Science university education programs.</td>
<td>Review of the collection of lesson plans/programs for mathematics and science programs from pre-service teachers and in-service participants in professional learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Timeline**

Formative evaluation will be consolidated for each meeting of the project team and the project Reference Committee. The summative evaluation will be a summary of all the project achievements and constitute a final report to the OLT.

Up to this time, the first establishment report has been provided to the Reference Committee and the project leaders’ fortnightly meetings.
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Opening Real Science merit scholarship recipients

- 2014: Katherine Broadway, BABEd(P), designed and implemented activities for pre-service teachers such as using iPads to conduct hands on astronomy activities
- 2015: Taylor Jansen BABEd(P), conducted ORS research resulting in her report *Chemistry Module Increases Pre-Service Teachers’ Content Knowledge, Skills and Confidence in Science*
- 2015: Saniya Ahmad BABEd(P), conducted ORS research resulting in her report *An Insight into Pre-service Teachers’ Views of Mathematics and their Numeracy Capabilities*
- 2016 Mark Gronow MEd Leadership, PhD candidate and ORS Scholarship recipient. Mark commenced his PhD Scholarship to improve secondary mathematics teaching at pre-service and in-service teacher levels. His PhD is titled: *Pre-service mathematics teachers noticing of structural thinking in a community of inquiry*

Opening Real Science research fellowship recipients

The cross-faculty Research Fellowship in Science (Physics & Astronomy) and Education was funded and created at Macquarie University, to bring scientific and educational expertise to module development, engagement with pre-service teachers and collaboration with other researchers at Macquarie University and partner universities and other scientific organisations.

- Dr Heath Jones Grad Dip Ed (Secondary), BSc (Hons) PhD: August 2014-January 2016. Dr Jones has expertise in the field of stellar evolution and teaching secondary science and physics to pre-service teachers.
- Dr Cormac Purcell BSc (Applied Science), PhD Astrophysics: August 2016-December 2017. Dr Purcell has expertise in astrophysical analysis, data analytics and public outreach activities including public lectures, school visits, planetarium shows and telescope nights.
- Dr Angel Lopez-Sanchez PhD Physics (Astrophysics): June 2016-December 2017. Dr Lopez-Sanchez has expertise as a research astronomer at the Australian Astronomical Observatory as well as lecturing and outreach activities.
Appendix E: ORS module evaluation checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Evaluator</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ORS Module Evaluation Checklist**

Each item in this checklist should be seen as an element that can simultaneously support each of the four big ideas.

**Learning activities with feedback**

- [ ] Embody the 5E model as part of its pedagogy
- [ ] Engages, explores, explains, elaborates, evaluates and encourages elucidation
- [ ] Employs activities constructed and controlled by the learner
- [ ] Identifies a role for the tutor
- [ ] Emphasizes peers in social or networking roles
- [ ] Emphasizes rubrics and exemplars for rich assessment tasks

**Comments:**

**Authenticity**

- [ ] Employs scientific and mathematical reasoning and questioning
- [ ] Emphasizes the testing phase
- [ ] Encourages data management and integrity
- [ ] Links to "real scientists" or science contexts

**Comments:**

**Representation of ideas**

- [ ] Emphasizes clear visual representations of ideas
- [ ] Supports data manipulation and interpretation
- [ ] Encourages critical evaluation of each representational form

**Comments:**

**Conceptual correctness and clarity**

- [ ] Employs appropriate quantification strategies
- [ ] Diagnoses and addresses student misconceptions
- [ ] Builds clear and unambiguous concepts

**Other resources and improvements needed**

ORS Module Evaluation Checklist
Appendix F: ORS module scope and sequence

ORS Modules for Pre-service Teachers

1. K
   - Discovering Real Science through Big History
   - Science in the Community

2. 1
   - Consumer Chemistry
   - Clocks in Rocks

3. 2
   - Human Impact
   - Fundamentals of Weather and Climate

4. 3
   - Inspired by Plants
   - The Living Laboratory

5. 4
   - Bioluminescence
   - Intelligent Materials

6. 5
   - Sound
   - Light

7. 6
   - Primary Astronomy
   - Telescopes, Colour Imaging and the Cosmos
   - Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars
   - Life and Death of Stars

8. 7
   - Gateway to Numeracy
   - Smart Budgeting Primary
   - Statistical Literacy Primary

9. 8
   - Smart Budgeting Secondary
   - Investing and Protecting
   - Statistical Literacy Secondary

10. 9
    - 2 Infinity and Beyond
    - Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future

11. 10
    - Frontiers of Real Science

12. 11
    - Gateway
    - Multidisciplinary
    - Chemistry
    - Earth/Environment

13. 12
    - Biology
    - Physics
    - Astronomy
    - Maths

For more information visit www.educ.mq.edu.au/education_research/opening_real_science/ or contact the ORS office in the School of Education, Macquarie University. 02 9850 8675.
## Appendix G: ORS module summaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Target program</th>
<th>Module team</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Module summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Discovering Real Science Through Big History</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Tracy Sullivan MQ, Joanne Mulligan MQ, David Christian MQ, Quentin Parker MQ, John Hedberg MQ, Mingming Diao MQ</td>
<td>Astronomy, Physics, Science Education</td>
<td>Discovering Real Science is the ‘Gateway’ module to ORS that is focused on the journey from the beginning of the universe to today’s interconnected global societies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Science in the Community</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Joanne Jamie MQ, Ian Jamie MQ, Renee Cawthorne MQ, Kathy Stewart MQ, Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Chemistry, Indigenous Science, Environmental Science</td>
<td>Science in the Community facilitates the planning and implementation of exciting science activities for events such as Science Week and community-based science investigations and events. It allows students to engage in real chemistry activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Consumer Chemistry</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Roy Tasker WSU, Kathy Stewart MQ, Joanne Jamie MQ, Ian Jamie MQ, Mingming Diao MQ</td>
<td>Chemistry, Science Education</td>
<td>This module engages students in scientific inquiry and explores basic ideas in understanding the material world. Teacher education students use the molecular visualisation technique of 'Vis Chem' for deeper understanding of fundamental concepts in chemistry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Clocks in Rocks</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Ed Saunders MQ, Helen Pask MQ, Kathy Stewart MQ, Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Earth Science, Physics, Science Education</td>
<td>Clocks in Rocks teaches students to use observational skills and learn how geologists use observations to understand the planet’s history. They will learn how the Earth has changed over time and the role that humans play in the geological cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Target program</td>
<td>Module team</td>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>Module summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Human Impact</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Kathy Stewart MQ, Frances Bodkin WSU, Liz Date-Huxtable WSU, Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Earth Science, Indigenous Science, Sustainability</td>
<td><em>Human Impact</em> uses Indigenous perspectives to teach the processes of community-based action and address an environmental issue. Students will analyse a real life case study of a rehabilitation project, as well as create and implement their own sustainability action plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Fundamentals of Weather and Climate</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Rod Lane MQ, Mingming Diao MQ, Kevin Cheung MQ, Natalie Steel MQ</td>
<td>Earth &amp; Environmental Science Education, Geography</td>
<td><em>Fundamentals of Weather and Climate</em> uses tropical cyclones as an example to illustrate the key principles for understanding weather, such as air pressure and the causes of wind and cloud formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Inspired by Plants</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Kathy Stewart MQ, Bronwen Wade-Leeuwen MQ, Helmut Pretchl (Potsdam University Germany), Michael Burkhart (Potsdam Botanical Garden Germany), Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Biology, Arts</td>
<td><em>Inspired by Plants</em> explores the intersection between art and science, teaching students the science skills of close observation to create a model, drawing or digital representation of a plant or plant part. Students are taught art making techniques from a range of cultures and work in several media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The Living Laboratory</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Kathy Stewart MQ, Naïla Even MQ, Daniel Sloane MQ, Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td><em>The Living Laboratory</em> allows students to understand work of scientists who conduct their investigations in outdoor environments. Students will plan a scientific investigation to shed light on a question they have about their local environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Target program</td>
<td>Module team</td>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>Module summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Bioluminescence</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Mariella Herberstein MQ Sham Nair MQ</td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td><em>Bioluminescence</em> explores the natural phenomenon whereby organisms generate light. This module employs the scientific method of inquiry to discover how and why this phenomenon occurs, and to explore how fascinating natural phenomena can yield valuable learning in science.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Years 9-12</td>
<td>Julian May MQ Wilhelmina Van Rooy ACU</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Intelligent</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Simon Leonard UC INSPIRE Centre</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td><em>Intelligent Materials</em> or ‘smart’ materials change their properties in response to changes in their environment. This module engages a design thinking process to learn about the science and technology enable the properties of these materials, and how ‘tinkering’ and design thinking may be valuable tools in STEM education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Years 9-12</td>
<td>Rob Fitzgerald UC INSPIRE Centre</td>
<td>Design Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sound</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Helen Pask MQ</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td><em>Sound</em>. Students will discover how sound is created, how it travels, how sounds differ and how teachers can explain and represent sound as a scientific phenomenon. Students will conduct their own investigation of an area of sound that interests them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Years K-10</td>
<td>Judith Dawes MQ Chris Sutton MQ Kathy</td>
<td>Science Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stewart MQ Mingming Diao MQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Light</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers</td>
<td>Helen Pask MQ</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td><em>Light</em> encourages students to explore the science of light and build an awareness of how light-based technologies promote sustainable development and provide solutions to global challenges in energy, education, agriculture and health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Years 5-10</td>
<td>Blake Entwisle MQ</td>
<td>Biology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Judith Dawes MQ Kathy Stewart MQ Scarlett An MQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Target program</td>
<td>Module team</td>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>Module summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **13. Primary Astronomy**     | Pre-service teachers Years K-9 | David McKinnon ECU  
Michael Fitzgerald ECU  
Heath Jones MQ  
Scarlet An MQ | Astronomy  
Physics | Primary Astronomy explores the basics of solar system astronomy. This module challenges the common astronomical misconceptions about how the sun, moon and planets move, interact, and are perceived from Earth. |
| **14. Telescopes, Colour Imaging & the Cosmos** | Pre-service teachers Years 7-10 | David McKinnon ECU  
Lena Danaia CSU  
Rob Hollow CASS  
Andrew Hopkins AAO  
Michael Fitzgerald ECU  
Scarlet An MQ | Astronomy | Telescopes, Colour Imaging and the Cosmos provides an introduction to fundamental aspects of modern astronomy required to build an observational understanding of the cosmos. |
| **15. Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars** | Pre-service teachers Years 7-10 | David McKinnon ECU  
Michael Fitzgerald ECU  
Lena Danaia CSU  
Rob Hollow CASS  
Scarlet An MQ | Astronomy | Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars develops an understanding of the main phases of the life cycle of stars, their observable properties and their fundamental nature, by exploring concepts presented in Hertzsprung-Russell Diagrams and Colour-Magnitude Diagrams. |
| **16. Life and Death of Stars** | Pre-service teachers Years 10-12 | Heath Jones MQ  
Quentin Parker MQ  
David Frew MQ  
Milorad Stupar MQ  
Scarlet An MQ | Astronomy | Life and Death of Stars takes students on a journey exploring the differences between stars and the way they form, evolve and eventually die. Students will learn about the technologies and techniques used to measure and understand the characteristics of stars. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Target program</th>
<th>Module team</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Module summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Gateway to Numeracy</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years K-9</td>
<td>Catherine Attaard WSU Dorian Stoilescu WSU Leigh Wood MQ Ayse Bilgin MQ Carolyn Kennett MQ Scarlet An MQ Liz Date-Huxtable WSU</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Gateway to Numeracy is a wide-ranging module introducing key concepts in numeracy, the application of mathematics to real life, and how children learn mathematics. The module facilitates ‘real life’ problem solving, integrating aspects of number and algebra, measurement and geography and statistics and probability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Smart Budgeting Primary</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years K-5</td>
<td>Leigh Wood MQ Damian Bridge MQ Paul Clitheroe MQ Liz Date-Huxtable WSU Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Smart Budgeting Primary looks at the practical preparation of budgets, and applying mathematics principles to effectively manage budgets across multiple domains including personal, organizational or governmental budgets. Students will work through cases and activities in real world scenarios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Smart Budgeting Secondary</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years 7-10</td>
<td>Leigh Wood MQ Damian Bridge MQ Paul Clitheroe MQ Liz Date-Huxtable WSU Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Smart Budgeting Secondary introduces the mathematical principles of effectively managing budgets including personal, organisational or government budgets by working through case studies and real world scenarios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Investing &amp; Protecting</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years 7-10</td>
<td>Leigh Wood MQ Damian Bridge MQ Liz Date-Huxtable WSU Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Investing and Protecting explains the mathematical principles underpinning financial management concepts including investing, superannuation, credit and protection through insurance. Students learn through real world scenarios, case studies and activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Target program</td>
<td>Module team</td>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>Module summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 21. Statistical Literacy Primary | Pre-service teachers Years K-5 | **Carmel Coady WSU**  
Michael Cavanagh MQ  
Joanne Mulligan MQ  
Ayse Bilgin MQ  
Vince Geiger ACU  
Liz Date-Huxtable WSU  
Scarlet An MQ | Mathematics | *Statistical Literacy Primary* introduces students to the everyday use of numbers and comparisons of numbers to justify the decisions that we make. Students will learn to interpret, interrogate and critique statistical claims in the media and to use statistical reasoning to argue a claim of their choosing. |
| 22. Statistical Literacy Secondary | Pre-service teachers Years 7-10 | **Carmel Coady WSU**  
Michael Cavanagh MQ,  
Joanne Mulligan MQ  
Ayse Bilgin MQ  
Vince Geiger ACU  
Liz Date-Huxtable WSU  
Scarlet An MQ | Mathematics | *Statistical Literacy Secondary* develops more advanced statistical literacy skills. Students will develop skills in making use of statistics in their role as education professionals, as well as critique and investigate statistical claims in the media surrounding educational issues. |
| 23. 2 Infinity and Beyond | Pre-service teachers Years 9-12 | **Carmel Coady WSU**  
Michael Cavanagh MQ,  
Carolyn Kennett MQ  
Liz Date-Huxtable WSU  
Scarlet An MQ | Mathematics | *2 Infinity and Beyond* leads students to explore historical conceptions and misconceptions of infinity. They will create an artwork incorporating an infinite geometric series using IT tools, and apply the concept of mathematical limits to air pollution issues. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Target program</th>
<th>Module team</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Module summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years 9-12</td>
<td><strong>Vince Geiger ACU</strong>&lt;br&gt;Ian Wright MQ&lt;br&gt;Heath Jones MQ&lt;br&gt;Mariella Herberstein MQ&lt;br&gt;Rehez Ahlip WSU&lt;br&gt;Liz Date-Huxtable WSU&lt;br&gt;Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td><em>Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future</em> explores the use of mathematical modelling to understand real world problems. Students will delve into big data using case studies to explore recent advances in the treatment of disease, management of water pollution, measurement of light emissions of stars and predicting the price of commodities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Frontiers of Real Science</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers Years K-12</td>
<td><strong>Helen Pask MQ</strong>&lt;br&gt;Kathy Stewart MQ&lt;br&gt;Rosanna Murphy MQ&lt;br&gt;Michael Cowley MQ&lt;br&gt;Scarlet An MQ</td>
<td>All</td>
<td><em>Frontiers of Real Science</em> equips students for the challenges of teaching science in the classroom. This module examines limiting stereotypes and beliefs and sociocultural challenges surrounding science and scientists. Students will also engage with a real scientist and develop their skills in communicating science concepts to a range of audiences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix H: ORS module design checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heading</th>
<th>Explanation of what will be developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topic name</td>
<td>Working title of the module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Introduces the major sections of the plan as well as the primary people involved in proposing the module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Describes any information needed to provide the review team with an understanding of the background of the module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purposes</td>
<td>Delineates the purposes of the module, describes how the module might link to others if appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiences</td>
<td>Specifies the potential audience of the module and how you propose to modify aspects of it for specific audiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed design</td>
<td>Consider how you will use the 6Es model to create interest and engagement, some early experimentation to explore the underlying principle or phenomena, the language needed to describe the phenomena scientifically, how the learners will elaborate on their initial ideas to test their questions and final how each phase will be evaluated authentically. Please include particular terminology, principles, processes and phenomena etc. that may need to be introduced prior to using this module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Links to the Australian</td>
<td>The clearer and more detailed these links are, the more likely that you will be able to develop your module to link to others - talk with Kathy Stewart to get advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed resources</td>
<td>Links to existing resources (eg YouTube), also what needs to be created and how it can be done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researching/trialling the</td>
<td>Specifies which learners, instructors and other personnel will participate in trials and to collect evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>module</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics and personnel</td>
<td>Who will be responsible for the implementation, analysis, and reporting aspects of the design; list who is to do each component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>Presents schedule for design, development, trialling, evaluation and revision on the spreadsheet template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Clarifies the finances needed for the development of the module. Personnel are the major cost factor, present in hours for existing staff. Other cost factors include travel and materials development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Opening Real Science
Appendix I: ORS module development and design process

Module Design Brief → Design and Development → Review → Create Module

Receive Trial Proposal incl. Participant Details → Trial Module Creation → Email Login Details to Tutors → Students Complete Module and Online Survey → Tutors Complete Online or Paper Survey → Data Collection and Analysis

Participate in Half Day Session → Complete Feedback → Data Collection and Analysis

Received Expression of Interest → Email Login Details to Participant → Review Module and Complete Online Survey → Data Collection and Analysis

Quantisrics Online Survey → Paper Survey → Workshop Summary Report

Workshops → Student Trial → Module Enhancement → Evaluation Survey

Opening Real Science
## Appendix J: ORS learning design tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science inquiries</th>
<th>Moodle tools</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resources showing real science concepts (6 Es)</td>
<td><strong>Add Resource</strong>&lt;br&gt;Upload file (video/audio/word/PowerPoint/PDF)</td>
<td>Upload video / audio file&lt;br&gt;Upload word /PDF</td>
<td>Limitation of file size&lt;br&gt;Limitation of internet speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual/audio presentation of real science concepts (6 Es)</td>
<td><strong>Add Resource</strong>&lt;br&gt;Create a label or page</td>
<td>Embed online video (eg: YouTube/Vimeo)&lt;br&gt;Add an image icon</td>
<td>Continued validity of links to online videos and images&lt;br&gt;Copyright issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra/latest sources for relevant real science concepts (Engage, Explain, Explore, Elucidate)</td>
<td><strong>Add Resource</strong>&lt;br&gt;Links to web page</td>
<td>Simply link or embed a web page to the online modules</td>
<td>Continued validity of the links to web pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of understanding real science concepts and share experimental results/evidence (Explain, Explore, Elucidate)</td>
<td><strong>Discussion Forum</strong>&lt;br&gt;Use for many types of learning activities</td>
<td>Set up discussion forum to allow students to dialogue their understanding of real science concepts, communicate with peers/teaching staff, collaborate with peers for experiments</td>
<td>Each student may be involved on various discussion forums&lt;br&gt;No individual portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing a diary or log; evaluating the understanding or real science concepts, reporting experiments, sharing study experience (Explain, Explore, Elaborate, Evaluate Elucidate)</td>
<td><strong>OU Blog</strong>&lt;br&gt;Allows for creation of blogs within a unit (separate to the core Moodle blog system): unit-wide blogs (everyone in the same unit posts to the same blog), group blogs or individual blogs</td>
<td>Students will be asked to create their own blog at the very beginning&lt;br&gt;Students use their blog to explain, explore, elaborate and evaluate</td>
<td>Blogs need to be set up for unit-wide, group or individual use&lt;br&gt;Blogs cannot be exported as a package&lt;br&gt;Students lose access to their blogs after graduation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with peers to explain and explore real science concepts and collection of evidence (Explain, Explore, Elucidate)</td>
<td><strong>OU Wiki</strong>&lt;br&gt;Use for many types of learning activities</td>
<td>Students can generate and explain scientific content collaboratively for assessment&lt;br&gt;Discussion can be generated around collaborative work using ‘comments’&lt;br&gt;The history of collaboration will be explored</td>
<td>Hard to manage and edit appearance&lt;br&gt;Everyone can view and edit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define real science concepts and terms (Explain, Explore,)</td>
<td><strong>Glossary</strong>&lt;br&gt;Use for learning activities that gather</td>
<td>Students can contribute to entries&lt;br&gt;Original author can</td>
<td>This is an input only and information transfer tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science inquiries</td>
<td>Moodle tools</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elucidate)</td>
<td>resources or present information</td>
<td>edit</td>
<td>Limited feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share real science concepts, terms and experimental files in searchable ways</td>
<td><strong>Database</strong></td>
<td>Students share their experimental evidence</td>
<td>Not intended for discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Explain, Explore, Elucidate)</td>
<td>Allows students to collect, share and search created artefacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review peers’ experiments and reports, evaluate group contribution</td>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>Students review their peers’ experimental plan, report and give feedback</td>
<td>Only the student who submitted receives feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Explain, Explore, Elucidate)</td>
<td>Used for peer review</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fairness of student evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting real science concepts and evaluate students’ understanding</td>
<td><strong>Lesson</strong></td>
<td>Starting the explanation and exploration with sequenced information (eg; videos, images, words)</td>
<td>Manageable learning sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Explain, Explore, Evaluate, Elucidate)</td>
<td>Use for presenting sequenced information or testing</td>
<td>Use sequenced quiz to evaluate</td>
<td>Only an individual activity but not a group activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative or summative assessment of learning; check learning process</td>
<td><strong>Quiz</strong></td>
<td>Evaluate students’ understanding of real science concepts</td>
<td>Use quiz as a checklist Manage and guide learning process and sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Explain, Explore, Evaluate, Elucidate)</td>
<td>Use to assess learning, formative or summative</td>
<td>Learning process checking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-enrolment of groups (6Es)</td>
<td><strong>Group selection</strong></td>
<td>Allocate students into different groups for team work</td>
<td>Set up group in advance More suitable for smaller / medium classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate the major assessment task (Elaborate, Evaluate, Elucidate)</td>
<td><strong>Assignment</strong></td>
<td>Students are required to submit a report for their major assessment task</td>
<td>No group assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use to collect, assess &amp; provide feedback on assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix K: ORS module evaluation for teacher education professionals form

Opening Real Science (ORS): Authentic Mathematics and Science Education for Australia

Module Evaluation: Teacher Education Professionals

Name (optional) ___________________________ Position: ___________________________
Institution/School: ______________________ Course/Program: ______________________
Module evaluated: ______________________ (please complete one form per module evaluated)

The ORS Modules aim to drive a major improvement in the quality of mathematics and science teaching and learning by integrating mathematics and science concepts, and building the competence, skills and confidence of pre-service teachers. With this purpose in mind:

1. Overall, how would you rate this module?

   - Very poor
   - Poor
   - Somewhat poor
   - Somewhat good
   - Good
   - Very good

2. Thinking about the module, indicate how strongly you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I felt engaged when I started viewing the module</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The module includes a variety of activities which allows pre-service teachers to explore the topic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The module enables pre-service teachers to offer accurate explanations of the scientific/mathematical concepts covered</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The assessment task/s allow pre-service teachers to demonstrate their understandings of the topics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The module encourages pre-service teachers to think scientifically/work mathematically</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix L: ORS module reviewer evaluation form

ORS Module Evaluation Form  
(adapted from MQ Teaching & Learning Resources)

**Module name:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall, how would you score this module? Circle your rating with 10 being excellent</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Why did you give this ranking?

How easy is the topic/module/unit to navigate?

Is it clear what you are supposed to do? Describe any issues.

List two features of the topic/module you found enjoyable or effective.

How would you improve the topic/module?

Overall comments
## Appendix M: ORS trialling data summary

### Primary maths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gateway to Numeracy</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U4</td>
<td>Dec 2015</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>1/3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Budgeting Primary</td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Literacy Primary</td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
### Secondary maths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Infinity and Beyond</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>Win 2015</td>
<td>elective</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing and Protecting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeling the Present – Predicting the Future</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S2 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Budgeting Secondary</td>
<td>U6</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td>primary teachers retraining</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U6</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>primary teachers retraining</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Literacy Secondary</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>1/3rd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
### K–12 science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discovering Real Science through Big History</td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>237 77 151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>elective</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>3 3 1 2 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>24 9 10 8 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>9 2 10 3 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>28 16 21 6 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>5 1 3 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U7</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>1/2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>298 229 159 41 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontiers of Real Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Impact</td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>Dec 2015</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>5 3 5 3 1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science in the Community</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>8 6 8 3 1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 25</td>
<td>13 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
## Primary science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clocks in Rocks</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Chemistry</td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>Sum 2014</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>groups</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>2/3rd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U7</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>1/2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspired by Plants</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
### Primary science (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Astronomy</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>Dec 2015</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S2 2014</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>2/3rd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>S2 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Living Laboratory</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
## Secondary science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioluminescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At start</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Consented</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamentals of Weather and Climate</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>July 2014</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>groups</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2015</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 semester</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>S2 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligent Materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life and Death of Stars</td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>Sum 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>S1 2016</td>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>S2 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescopes, Colour Imaging and the Cosmos</td>
<td>U6</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars</td>
<td>U6</td>
<td>S2 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
# Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module title</th>
<th>Partner university</th>
<th>Trial date</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tutor survey (Y/N)</th>
<th>Mod review</th>
<th>TEPs (no.)</th>
<th>Wshop survey</th>
<th>Wshop report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. Trials</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4226</td>
<td>2388, 2600, 1608</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mod rev=Module review; TEPs=Teacher education professionals; Wshop survey=Enhancement workshop survey; Wshop report=Enhancement workshop group report
Appendix N: *Consumer Chemistry* exemplar report

*Consumer Chemistry* was trialled extensively, so was selected to demonstrate trialling procedures with large samples.

Six trials of the *Consumer Chemistry* module across five university pre-service primary programs were completed from 2014–16. The table below provides a summary of the scope and depth of each trial.

Across the six trials, the completion rates (the percentage of students who completed required online activities) ranged from 31.91 per cent (Trial 1) to 100 per cent (Trial 2). The mean completion rate for the trials was 72.52 per cent. Generally, lower completion rates occurred in trials where the module was conducted as an ‘add-on’ to the students’ mandatory science education program requirements, or when insufficient tutor guidance was provided. Of the students who completed the module, 42.65 per cent completed the student evaluation survey.

### Summary of trials for *Consumer Chemistry*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial Code</th>
<th>Institution Code</th>
<th>Degree program</th>
<th>Student year level</th>
<th>Delivery mode</th>
<th>Trial length</th>
<th>Number students</th>
<th>Number surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>BEd</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>BEd (Primary)</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>BEd (EC Primary)</td>
<td>2/3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>1 sem</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>BEd (EC Birth-12)</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>1 sem</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>varied</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>online</td>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>U7</td>
<td>BEd (EC, Primary)</td>
<td>1/2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>340</strong></td>
<td><strong>145</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-service teachers provided an overall rating of the module by responding to the question, “*Thinking about the online module you completed, Consumer Chemistry, how would you rate it overall?*”. Responses were given using a 6-point Likert scale (1 = very poor and 6 = very good). The mean overall ratings for this module were between 4.5 and 5.0 out of 6, therefore well above the mean ranking.

In all three trials, the question to which pre-service teachers responded most positively was “the variety of activities allowed them to explore the topic”. The question they responded least positively to was “feeling engaged at the start of the module”, although the mean scores indicate that the majority of students did feel engaged at the start of the module.
## Appendix O: Summary of Teacher Education Professional module reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>n(TEPs)</th>
<th>Key comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-12 Science</td>
<td>Discovering Real Science</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>“Effective”, “understanding”, “big picture”, “ease of understanding”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frontiers of Real Science</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Remotely likely at best”, “effective ... with different ways of communicating their knowledge”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Impact</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>“Quite effective”, “Very strong ... illustrates that there are many paths to learning about science ... “, “partially effective ... increased content is needed”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science in the Community</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>“Supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”, “Good for practically engaging with science, but not building understanding”, “Helps [pre-service teachers] physically plan and implement a science experiment”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary science</td>
<td>Consumer Chemistry</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>“Increased content is needed”, “use of experimentation ... is a positive of the module”, “lots of good ideas and plenty of confidence building around hands on activities ... not sure it develops key concepts systematically”, “Very good”, “Probably good for those with no science background”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clocks in Rocks</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>“Great summary”, “effective as a stand-alone instructional lesson on the topic”, “language and theory ... so detailed ... discourage teachers ... if had little or no geological background”, “dense”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspired by Plants</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>“Improvement required to strengthen the module. There are few scientific/mathematical concepts featured”, “module will provide ... a clear understanding”, “may be effective for this purpose”, “partially effective”, “simple topic ... strong links”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Living Laboratory</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Very effective and more holistically than some other modules”, “supports improved understandings”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Astronomy</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“supports improved understandings”, “this is a real strength of this module ... difficult to finish this module and not have a solid understanding”, “Great”, “beneficial to pre-service teachers”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Module</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>n(TEPs)</td>
<td>Key comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>“supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”, “Good content”, “extremely effective”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Science</td>
<td>Bioluminescence</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“done quite effectively through the tasks and activities”, “content supports improved understanding”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligent Materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Partially effective as stand-alone … Increased content is needed along with more engaging activities.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life and Death of Stars</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“effective as a stand-alone”, “Very good … allowed me to extend my thinking on physics”, “Very Good … relevant and connects well with current curriculum”, “content supports improved understandings”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“content supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”, “Very good”, “does a good job … could engage students more with a variety of mediums”, “A great starting point.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescopes, Colour Imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Extremely effective in dismissing common misconceptions”, “content supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“content supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamentals of Weather and Climate</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“introduces scientific concepts through practical investigations/demonstration … gain scientific knowledge, but also the skills of running hands-on, practical science”, “supports improved understandings of scientific concepts”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Maths</td>
<td>Gateways to Numeracy</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>“an increase in the mathematical concepts presented … would be beneficial”, “really boost confidence and flexibility with numbers”, “effective”, “supports improved understanding”, “took a little while to work out what the focus was”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Budgeting (Primary)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>“not too sure if I personally understood the scientific concepts throughout this module”, “Fairly effective … however some concepts are assumed … may need some optional explanations”, “module content does not support improved understanding”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Literacy (Primary)</td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>“Not a lot of material which helped to improve mathematical understandings”, “assessment are not helpful in practically applying this knowledge to work with data”, “no clear distinction for how this statistical data understanding would be transferred to students”,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Module</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>n(TEPs)</td>
<td>Key comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Quite effective. Good detail”, “unsure how effective this module would be for pre-service teacher”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Maths</td>
<td>2 Infinity and Beyond</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>“Not really”, “extremely exciting ... all would gain knowledge and insight ... did feel that there were many aspects ... far too difficult”, “effective as a stand-alone instructional lesson”, “provides a very in depth look”, “Can’t say”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing &amp; Protecting</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Good”, “content does not support improved understandings”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling the Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>“[concept] covered in depth”, “gives great insight into how mathematical concepts relate to the outside world”, “Can’t say”, “effective as a stand-alone instructional lesson”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Budgeting (Secondary)</td>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>“Personally ... very worthwhile. How well this translates into the classroom would be difficult to say”, “content does not support improved understandings”, “Very good”, “Effective”, “don’t believe it is an effective tool ... high levels of prior learning”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Literacy (Secondary)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>“Very”, “informative and interesting”, “effective as a stand-alone instructional lesson”, “could be overwhelming”, “Increased content is needed”, “not a lot of material which helped to improve mathematical understanding”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix P: ORS enhancement workshop survey

ORS Enhancement: Module Review
Module name: _____________________________________________

The following excerpts from the original ORS proposal describe the purpose of the modules. Please read and then respond to the questions below.

“Opening Real Science will deliver new teaching modules that engage teachers in real science and enable them to teach mathematics and science as they are actually practised: as dynamic, forward-looking and collaborative human endeavours.”

“By combining a solid understanding of key concepts in the five discipline areas with examples of, and scaffolds for, inquiry-based learning, education students will develop their own confidence, skills and understanding. The modules will support them to carry out mini-research projects utilising some form of realistic data collection (e.g. by survey, laboratory experimentation, or analysis of online data), analysis and interpretation. By asking and investigating their own questions, they can build their capabilities to initiate and frame curriculum-aligned mathematical and scientific investigations in their own classrooms.”

1. How well does the ORS module encourage pre-service teacher education students to ask and investigate questions about the world in which they live?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Very Poorly</th>
<th>Poorly</th>
<th>Adequately</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. How well does the ORS module introduce pre-service teacher education students to real scientists and their research?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Very Poorly</th>
<th>Poorly</th>
<th>Adequately</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. How well does the ORS module articulate the concepts of scientific questioning, fair testing and data integrity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Very Poorly</th>
<th>Poorly</th>
<th>Adequately</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

4. Can authentic inquiry-based learning happen within this module?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Very Poorly</th>
<th>Poorly</th>
<th>Adequately</th>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

Any further comments? Please add below.

Comments:
### Mentor Teacher Evaluation of Primary Science Professional Experience 2014

**Pre-service Teacher:** 

**School:** 

**Mentor/Science Teacher:** 

**Grade:** 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Competency</th>
<th>Yet to be demonstrated</th>
<th>Working towards</th>
<th>Demonstrated</th>
<th>Exceeds expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Planning and Preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written science lesson plans/unit</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop science resources</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compile science learning portfolio/folder</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons align with science syllabus</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses open ended/constructive questioning</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses scientific methodology and higher order tasks (e.g. Hypothesising, predicting, observing, fair testing, data collection, etc.)</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develops “rich” science tasks</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assesses science concepts and processes</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describes assessment criteria (e.g. Rubric/Indicators)</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Interaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/group work</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management strategies</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching strategies (e.g. Enquiry approach)</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates interest and enthusiasm for science</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative in learning science innovation</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to professional advice</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area/s of strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area/s to be developed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this stage of their professional development in science I would consider this Pre-service Teacher to be:

- O Unsatisfactory
- O Needs development
- O Satisfactory
- O Above satisfactory

Mentor Teacher Signature: ______________________ Date: ______________

Pre-service Teacher Signature: ______________________ Date: ______________
Appendix R: Professional experience implementation Issues

Feedback after each trial indicated that a number of issues had been encountered. These include:

Selection of mentor teachers

Mentor teachers were generally only approached directly if they had previously participated in the program or were well-known by ORS staff. On other occasions school principals were approached on advice that their schools were interested in developing their science or mathematics programs and pedagogies, and these schools nominated staff as ORS mentor teachers. At times this resulted in teachers being unaware of the aims and procedures for the professional experience or being unsuited to the mentoring role due to either lack of commitment to or confidence in the specialist areas.

Selection of pre-service teachers

The original invitation to pre-service teachers to participate in the professional experience attracted students from years 2 to 4 of teacher education programs. Feedback from mentor teachers indicated that most pre-service teachers needed at least two previous professional experiences to have sufficiently developed skills in classroom management and planning units of work to be ready to focus on inquiry-based learning in science and/or mathematics.

We understood the prac students were coming as expert science teachers and would teach science … Both [third year] students were not confident teachers.
– 2016 mentor teacher, focus group

Misunderstandings about the science/mathematics expertise of mentor teachers and/or pre-service teachers

In some trials there were misunderstandings on the part of some mentor teachers and pre-service teachers that their partner brought particular expertise in science or mathematics, despite advice to the contrary being provided. This led to some disappointments, particularly in cases where neither the mentor teacher nor the pre-service teachers possessed such expertise.

Confusion about the relationship between the ORS modules and the specialist professional experience

In later trials all pre-service teachers and mentor teachers were given access to the online modules (by email and/or at a meeting) to raise their awareness of the resources and also to seek feedback on their usefulness in improving the knowledge base of pre-service teachers. This resulted in some confusion about whether the modules were intended to be adapted for use in the professional experience.
Administration requirements and coordination

As pre-service teachers were undertaking this professional experience as part of the normal professional experience requirements of their teacher education, this required considerable coordination with the professional experience staff who administer school placements. Also, as these specialist professional experiences were trials for the ORS project, there were many administrative tasks for data collection (e.g. collection of consent forms, mentor teacher evaluation forms and interview arrangements). These tasks were shared between the specialist university advisor and the ORS research officer.
Appendix S: Professional experience evaluation interview questions

Opening Real Science (ORS) Specialist Mathematics and Science Professional Experience

MENTOR TEACHER INTERVIEW GUIDE

INTRODUCTION

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this interview. Your input to this project is highly valued. This research forms part of the Opening Real Science project, which aims to drive a major improvement in the quality of mathematics and science teaching and learning, and build the competence, skills and confidence of pre-service teachers.

One way we hope to achieve this is through offering pre-service teachers the opportunity to take part in a specialist practicum, or professional experience, focusing on science and/or maths teaching.

The purpose of our interview today is to further explore your experience as a mentor teacher. The interview will take up to one hour and is divided into two parts. Part 1 is some background about you, your school and how mathematics and science are taught. Part 2 focuses on the ORS professional experience for which you provided mentor support.

I will be recording and transcribing the interview to ensure that I capture our conversation accurately. I will then replace your name/s with codes in the record of the interview so that no individuals can be identified. The data collected will be kept confidential. Your comments today will not be provided back to your school, but will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the ORS Mathematics and Science professional experience model.

INTERVIEW GUIDE

Part one: Background Information

Q1: Invite participants to state their name, how long they have been teaching, stage they currently teach, and whether this was their first experience supervising a pre-service teacher.

Q2: How is science currently taught in your school?

(Probe; classroom teacher versus specialist science teacher; using a particular program e.g. Primary Connections; how much time is dedicated to science)?

Q3: If it were up to you, what model do you think would work best in your school? Why?

Q4: How is mathematics currently taught in your school? (E.g. in class; graded maths groups; teacher programmed or following a particular program/textbook? How much time is dedicated to maths)

Q5: How do you feel about teaching science and maths?

(Probe; confidence e.g. in planning authentic rich tasks)

Part two: ORS professional experience

Q1: What made you decide to take part in the Opening Real Science project as a mentor teacher?

Q2: What expectations did you have when you agreed to take part in the project? Were these expectations met?
Q3: (For teachers who have previously supervised pre-service teachers): In what ways, if any, was the ORS professional experience different to previous one you’ve supervised?

Q4: The model adopted in this project is for pre-service teachers to complete a block placement in school, emphasising science and/or maths teaching, with the support of you, the mentor teacher, and a specialist supervisor. From your perspective, what are the advantages in this approach? What are the disadvantages? What impact did the professional experience have on your teaching?

Q5: One goal of the ORS professional experience is for pre-service teachers to better develop their skills in teaching science and/or maths. Do you feel your pre-service teacher achieved this?

(Probe; to what extent were pre-service teachers able to implement SE model: Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate?)

(Probe; in what ways were pre-service teachers able to incorporate the real world of science into their lessons? Describe any factors that helped or hindered their capacity to do this.)

Q6: Did the evaluation form allow you to say what you really wanted to say about your pre-service teacher?

Q7: The aim of the ORS project is to promote student engagement and capability in Science and Mathematics through improving the training of pre-service teachers. Please describe the extent to which the students in your class engaged in the Science lessons and developed their understandings of topics taught.

(Probe; how engaged were students in your class? Did the lessons develop their interest in science and/or their understandings of topics covered?)

Q8: Supervising pre-service teachers may sometimes result in professional learning for mentor teachers. What, if anything, did you learn through supervising this professional experience?

Q9: Has your own teaching practice changed at all as a result of participating in the ORS professional experience? If so, how?

Q10: Thinking about the future; What aspects of the ORS professional experience could be improved?

Q11: Would you participate in another ORS professional experience in maths and science? Why/why not?

Q12: Would you recommend taking part in the ORS professional experience to a fellow teacher?

Q13: This project is called the Opening Real Science project. What does “real science” mean to you?

Q14: Do you have any other comments or feedback about the professional experience?

Thank you for participating in this project. Your responses will be used to inform the Opening Real Science professional experience placement for future pre-service and mentor teachers.

Mentor Teacher Interview Guide
Opening Real Science (ORS) Specialist Mathematics and Science Professional Experience

PRE-SERVICE TEACHER INTERVIEW GUIDE

Introduction

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this interview. Your input to this project is highly valued. This research forms part of the Opening Real Science project, which aims to drive a major improvement in the quality of mathematics and science teaching and learning, and build the competence, skills and confidence of pre-service teachers.

One way we hope to achieve this is through offering pre-service teachers the opportunity to take part in a specialist professional experience, focusing on science and/or maths teaching.

The purpose of our interview today is to further explore your experience of this specialist professional experience.

There are two parts to the interview. The first is a discussion about your teaching, the role of your mentor teacher and the ORS model. The second part explores what real science means to you. The interview will take approximately one hour to complete.

I will be recording and transcribing the interview. This is to ensure that I capture our conversation accurately.

I will then replace your name/s with codes in the record of the interview so that no individuals can be identified. The data collected will be kept confidential.

This evaluation of the Opening Real Science project is run independently from the university unit you are enrolled in. Your comments today will not in any way influence your grading for any university study. The information you provide will be used to evaluate the new model of professional experience and may also be used in reporting to the Office for Learning and Teaching, and reported at conferences and in journal articles. You will not be identified individually in any such reports.

Part one: Opening Real Science professional experience

Q.1. What influenced you to participate in the Opening Real Science professional experience placement? (Explore motivating factors such as improve understanding of science; gain experience teaching science; access to a specialist mentor teacher; placement proximity).

Q.2. What expectations did you have of the ORS professional experience? (If they completed a previous practicum, how they expected it to be different) Were these expectations met?

Q.3. What were the benefits of taking part in the ORS professional experience compared to the regular professional experience? Were there any advantages or disadvantages?

Q.4. How confident do you feel in your ability to plan authentic rich tasks in science and/or maths?

Q.5. Thinking about the teaching you did on your practicum, could you describe what you see as the best science lesson or sequence of lessons you taught? What makes this lesson (or lessons) stand out from others? (Probe: – to what extent were you able to implement a 5E model: Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate)
Q.6: The aim of the ORS project is to promote student engagement and capability in mathematics and science through improving the training of pre-service teachers. How engaged were the students in your class in the science lessons you taught? Did the lessons develop their interest in science and/or their understandings of concepts covered?

**Part two: Mentor teachers**

Mentor teachers may have a significant impact on your professional experience. It is important that expert supportive mentor teachers are involved.

Q.1. Can you comment about how the mentor teacher supported you, and in what ways;

Q.2. Would you recommend a peer undertake a specialist ORS placement with your mentor teacher? Why / why not?

(Probe: how expert/committed were mentor teachers in teaching science; how committed/flexible were mentor teachers in enabling pre-service teachers to teach science).

**Part three: ORS activities outside of school**

The ORS project also offers the opportunity to take part in specialist placements and workshops outside of school and university.

Q.1: Did you complete any such specialist placements?

Q.2: If yes, how useful was this experience? (Explore increasing content knowledge, how to teach, resources, making contacts with scientists / educators)

**Part four: The ORS modules and science network**

The project has been developing a number of modules to help primary pre-service teachers develop their understanding of science concepts and teaching.

Q.1: Were you offered access to these modules during your professional experience?

If yes, did you access them?

If yes, please describe how you used them.

If not, why not?

The project aims to foster greater collaboration between educators and scientists.

Q.2: Did you have any contact with real scientists during your professional experience?

If yes, how was this established, and describe any benefits to you and your teaching.

**Part five: The real world of science**

Q.1: This project is called the Opening Real Science project. (Provide paper and pen). Provide an example of what you understand ‘real science’ to mean.

Thank you for participating in this project.

Pre-Service Teacher Interview Guide
Appendix T: Mentor teacher and university advisor comments from professional experience

Examples of the responses provided by mentor teacher at post interview from the primary science professional experience are exemplified as follows. These comments support the evidence from the pre-service teachers and university advisors that indicated the effective impact of the professional experience.

MT = mentor teacher; UA = university advisor

2015

Mentor teachers

“A great deal of scientific thought and thinking process was developed in the students”. (MT1)

“Pre-service teacher has proven herself to be a very impressive beginning teacher in science and all other KLAs”. (MT2)

“Pre-service teacher has an enthusiasm and passion for science and science education. She takes risks and engages students ...” (MT3)

“Pre-service teacher excelled herself in the preparation of lessons and was extremely organised with the rich resources that she used”. (MT4)

“Enthused students about science!” (MT5)

“Developed engaging experiments, student focused lessons, questioning, hands-on”. (MT)

“Working across all 5Es”. (MT)

University advisors

“The students were enthralled by the live animal observations and clearly wanted to learn more about them ... the use of ICT helped focus the children and give clearer observation possibilities”. (UA1)

“Pre-service teacher showed great initiative and collaborative skills to make the investigation relevant and meaningful to the children and developed concepts associated with ‘fair testing’”. (UA1)

“Pre-service teacher promoted higher-order thinking skills with an emphasis on predicting, measuring and controlling variables”. (UA2)
Mentor teachers

“Pre-service teacher planned a variety of lessons that delved into scientific concepts and planned, set up and guided students through experiments”. (MT)

“She makes learning science and other KLAs much fun and fosters curiosity for students to explore the subject further on their own”. (MT)

“A very impressive science component of this practicum. Pre-service teacher confidently delivers science lessons that allow students to engage, ponder, question and achieve”. (MT)

“Excellent knowledge of content and student ability levels. Enthusiasm for integrating science in the classroom”. (MT)

“Pre-service teacher has excelled in this practicum placement and given a lot of thought to planning high quality, engaging science, maths and other lessons”. (MT)

“Pre-service teacher has an excellent approach to teaching science and maths ... I am certain she will be an inspirational teacher who instils a love of science in students”. (MT)

University advisors

“Pre-service teacher has been particularly successful in developing an inquiry based mindset to their learning over a sequence of focused lessons”.

“Students were engaged in the activity and challenged to develop their own investigation into marble runs.”

“It was evident that pre-service teacher had worked to increase the students’ ability to be inquiring learners”.

“Pre-service teacher is capable of promoting students to be inquiring learners able to use their curiosity and creativity to solve problems through investigation.

“Pre-service teacher showed great initiative on this practicum and on the ORS project in particular, to develop a unit of work for the whole grade thus making a valuable contribution to the school”.

“Pre-service teacher [showed] a comprehensive understanding of both theoretical and practical approaches to lesson preparation. Presentation and review. Her particular work in building inquiring minds amongst her students was commendable”.

Opening Real Science
Appendix U: Module report: Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future

Rationale for the module

The ability to apply mathematics to the real world underpins many aspects of personal, civic and work life. The process of using mathematics to solve problems in the real world is known as mathematical modelling. An inability to use mathematics has been shown to limit an individual’s career aspirations, social well-being, and financial security (e.g. Paulos, 2000). Further, mathematical modelling is a fundamental capability required within STEM careers – a sector that is vital to maintaining the nation’s productivity in an increasingly globalised world characterised by rapid technological and economic change (Australian Academy of Sciences, 2006). Thus, for students to choose and have access to careers within STEM, and related professions, they must be supported by approaches to teaching that inspire them to feel confident and competent with applying mathematics to the real world (Office of the Chief Scientist, 2012). The overarching aim of this module is to develop pre-service teachers mathematical modelling competencies so that they prepared to promote their future students’ capabilities with applying mathematics to real world problems.

Additionally, because this module provides explicit treatment of the mathematical modelling process, it deals with a fundamental underpinning of all other modules – which aim to strengthen pre-service teachers’ mathematical knowledge by challenging them to engage with how mathematics is used in the real world.

Module proposal

This module explores the power of mathematics for solving real world problems and delves into the visionary thinking that results from big data analyses. In doing so, the module provides support for the development of a number of key mathematical modelling competencies, including:

- understanding of the nature of models/modelling and how these are useful
- understand the role of assumptions when developing a model
- use of already developed models to understand a phenomenon and/or make predictions
- understand the appropriateness or otherwise of applying a particular model to a specific phenomenon/problem
- evaluating the validity/appropriateness of predictions/outcomes
- developing actions that extend from predictions/outcomes
- evaluating appropriateness of actions implied by models – extra mathematics factors such as values, morals, social norms

Case studies were used to explore the mathematical underpinnings of recent advances in the treatment of disease and the management of water pollution, as
well as the Black & Scholes model of financial markets and the detection and
description of star systems. The module is designed to promote skills essential to all
other modules where mathematics is used to explain and predict real world
phenomena as the focus of mathematics or science disciplines. In particular, the
module links to other mathematics modules, Statistical Literacy Secondary, Smart
Budgeting Secondary, Investing & Protecting and 2 Infinity and Beyond, by extending
concepts and their applications to real world using actual data and models. The case
studies demonstrate mathematical models that relate to the four science disciplines
included in the Opening Real Science project: biology/medicine, chemistry,
environmental science and astronomy/physics. Assessment in the module allows the
student to investigate a current real world model of ‘big data’ of their choice, explain
how it works, elaborate on its applications, evaluate its effectiveness and elucidate
future frontiers for the model or modelling approach, as well as prepare for its use in
a senior secondary mathematics class.

Module development process

Members of the team either self-identified by responding to an expression of
interest distributed to relevant staff (mathematicians, scientists, and mathematics
and science educators) of participating universities or were invited on the basis of
their expertise. The expertise of the module team included: Mariella Herberstein
(evolutionary biology), Julian May and Rehez Ahlip (financial mathematics), Heath
Jones (astrophysics), Ian Wright (environmental chemistry), Vince Geiger
(mathematical modelling), Leanne Rylands (mathematician) and Liz Date-Huxtable
(instructional design). The Design Develop Implement (DDI) process was adapted by
completing the design and development, trialling and enhancement phases of the
process punctuated by a series of workshops to critically review its progress.

The conceptual framework used to guide the development of Modelling the Present–
Predicting the Future was based on two constructs: the Biological Sciences
Curriculum Study (BSCS) 5Es instructional model approach (Bybee, 2009), used to
sequence and organise all modules across the project, and the numeracy model for
the 21st century (Goos, Geiger & Dole, 2014), used to structure case studies within
the module.

The numeracy model for the 21st century has been validated through a series of
research projects. This model incorporates the essential components of widely
accepted definitions of numeracy while emphasising the role of critical thinking and
tools for learning, especially digital tools. The model incorporates the four
dimensions of contexts, mathematical knowledge, tools, and dispositions that are
embedded in a critical orientation to the use of mathematics to solve problems in
the real world. These dimensions are summarised in Table 2 but described more fully
in other publications (e.g. Geiger, Goos & Dole, 2014).

The process of module development was collaborative and emergent consisting of
four phases: selection of content, identifying structure, and planning for subsequent
phases; initial case study development; draft case study review; and finalisation of
the module by linking of case studies.
The structure of each case study was formulated to provide introductory context to a modelling activity, stimulus questions to generate ideas about the how the mathematical model works, further questions on the application and interpretation of the model, its assumptions and limitations, as well as making and evaluating predictions from the model as a formative assessment. The final assessment comprised developing a modelling case study for a senior mathematics class. The four case studies were completed on the Moodle LMS in January, 2016. See Geiger et al. (2016) for further detail.

Figure 1. Storyboard for Modelling the Present – Predicting the Future
Feedback from reviews and trials

Review of module

A comprehensive review of the module was submitted by Professor Peter Galbraith (UQ) on 1st April, 2016, and revisions were completed on 20th May, 2016, in time for the ORS enhancement workshop on 30th May, 2016. Further enhancement of the module was completed on 26th August, 2016 as a result of additional feedback from this meeting.

Module revisions included:

1. scaffolding all case study ‘tools’ using either with supporting documentation (including the use of screen grabs) or Adobe Captivate videos that provided elaboration on the use of buttons and/or sliders. This scaffolding also included questions about the underlying mathematics behind the manipulation of buttons/sliders.
2. developing a tutor guide.
3. scaffolding of online delivery through a directing set of questions for each activity (added to tutor guide).
4. developing a discussion forum/set of questions to bring out the ‘value-laden-ness’ of models within each case study.
5. addition of an outcome for the modelling process to make a clear connection to key scientific concepts.
6. fine tuning of time allocations for each case study.
7. structuring of the introductory audio recording around stimulus questions.
8. reworking of the case study based on the Black & Scholes model for financial market behaviour to focus just on shares as well as a choice for final assessment based on the S&P500 Index.

Trialling of the module

A secondary mathematics pre-service teacher education class at ACU-Sydney completed a trial of the module during semester 2, 2016. The feedback from this trial is still undergoing analysis.

Reflection on the process

A unique aspect of this module was the approach to design utilised by the Module Development Team (MDT). The design was underpinned by an inquiry-based approach common to all ORS modules, the 5Es model (Bybee, 2009) and inclusion of mathematicians, scientists, mathematics and science educators in MDTs. Additionally, however, emergent structuring devices and practices such as the use of the numeracy model (Goos, Geiger, Dole, 2014) and the ways in which MDT members interacted and brought together their specific expertise in a unique collaboration shaped the structure and the scaffolding architecture of the module. Thus, both module and case study development were underpinned by principles of design and practices seeking to promote collaboration among experts within the STEM disciplines. As a result, these principles and practices were in alignment with
the overarching aim of the ORS Project—to draw on the expertise of mathematicians, scientists, mathematics educators and science educators in order to engage pre- and in-service teachers with real mathematics and science so that these subjects can be taught as they are practised.
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Appendix V: Professional experience recommendations

Recommendations for professional experience in mathematics and science

The ORS professional experience has shown strong benefits for pre-service teachers who do not have a background in science or mathematics and who may not be undertaking a specialisation in primary science or mathematics teacher education (BOSTES NSW, 2016).

It is recommended that:

1. Teacher education programs adapt a flexible and innovative approach to professional experience to encourage specialist experience in mathematics and science, to suit their program contexts and their pre-service teacher’s backgrounds.

2. Select schools and mentor teachers with care, by establishing, or building upon existing relationships with mentor teachers; only involve a manageable number of committed schools. Ideally, more than one mentor teacher at each school is encouraged to support a collaborative approach among mentor teachers, pre-service teachers and university advisors.

3. Begin with a small cohort of pre-service teacher volunteers, selected with care and focus on students who have completed at least one consistent, successful professional experience period. An expression of interest process supports commitment. This needs be established and articulated to students and staff well in advance as part of the teacher education program guidelines.

4. Provide an orientation/professional learning meeting for both mentor teachers and pre-service teachers to i) establish expectations and processes ii) provide access to ORS modules and ii) allow mentor teachers and pre-service teachers to meet and plan prior to the professional experience period. Feedback from mentor teachers indicated that a full day orientation would be preferred if it included professional learning in science and/or mathematics.

5. Recruit suitable specialist primary mathematics and science advisors possibly from school sector. Establish processes for collaboration between university mathematics and science academics and professional experience and mentor teacher advisors for supporting ORS pre-service teachers.

6. Professional experience advisors liaise closely with teacher education program staff where program development and evaluation can take account of the ORS approach and use of the modules.

7. Liaise closely with university professional experience coordinators, academic staff and administrative staff, to integrate appointment of pre-service teachers.
to schools within the larger professional experience system. This would require lead-up time (at least six months)

8. Support an ongoing network of cooperating schools and relationships with the university. Schools and mentor teachers appreciate professional learning opportunities, and mathematics and science resources. Mentor teachers can also provide guidance to teacher education program development.

Sustaining a specialist professional experience approach will require integration into university programs and some additional ongoing funding for specialist university advising and mentor teacher relief time.

An ongoing commitment to specialist science or mathematics implies some additional funding as follows:

1. The provision of the ORS mentor teacher once off payment was highly valued by schools. This can cost between $350 – $500 per mentor teacher. It is recommended that this funding be attached to the mentor teacher attendance of a full day orientation meeting and the evaluation of the specialist professional experience.

2. In the standard professional experience programs, university advisors are often employed casually and are generally paid to make one visit to students on professional experience. If specialist university advisors are to be employed and expected to supervise closely, additional costs would be expected.

3. Additional administration and coordination support for selecting and coordinating pre-service teachers, schools and mentor teachers may be necessary.
Appendix W: ORS brochure
Opening Real Science (ORS) is a unique collaboration between Australia’s leading teacher educators, scientists, mathematicians and ICT experts. It will develop innovative pre-service programs to equip teachers to inspire and motivate students through authentic, inquiry based learning.

ORS is part of the Australian Government’s Initiative: Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers program (ETMST). This program was established in response to recommendations from Professor Ian Chubb AC, Australia’s Chief Scientist, in his 2012 report Mathematics, Engineering and Science in the national interest.

Led by Macquarie University, this $2.3m Australian Government funded project will drive major improvement in the quality of mathematics and science learning by delivering innovative teacher education modules. ORS will also develop a unique professional experience model to build the competence and confidence that Australian teachers need to engage their students in motivating investigations around real science in our world.

Together with our partners, Western Sydney University, Australian Catholic University, University of Canberra, University of Notre Dame Australia, Edith Cowan University, Charles Sturt University and CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, the Australian Astronomical Observatory and Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, the ORS project is focused on five key outcomes:

1. Developing and trialling 25 mathematics and science on-line learning modules for primary and secondary teacher education, equivalent to 8 university semester units
2. Identifying and building a network of outstanding school mentors who practise real science
3. Developing and implementing a model of professional experience so pre-service teachers can work collaboratively with school mentors
4. Developing specialised teacher education programs in primary and secondary mathematics and science
5. Building and maintaining a network of mathematicians and scientists (in and outside the university network), educators, school mentors and educational stakeholders to sustain the project into the future.

If you would like more information on the ORS project please visit the website; http://www.educ.mq.edu.au/education_research/opening_real_science/ or contact the ORS office in the School of Education Macquarie University 02 9850 8675

ORS Module Summaries

- **Discovering Real Science** is the ‘Gateway’ module that will take you on a journey from the beginning of the universe to today’s interconnected global societies.

- **Frontiers of Real Science** puts you in touch with real scientists and their cutting-edge research.

- **Consumer Chemistry** engages you with the process of scientific inquiry in real chemistry so you see the relevance of chemistry in everyday life packaged with chemistry concepts.

- **Science in the Community** enables you to plan and conduct your own exciting science activities for events such as Science Week and community-based science investigations and events inspiring you to engage in real chemistry activities.

- **Clocks in Rocks** reveals the geology all around us. Every resource we have is either farmed or mined, and both are related to geology. Geologists are historians and detectives, using the other branches of science as a toolkit to look for clues.

- **Human Impact**. Can our impact be moderated or altered to deliver positive outcomes for other species inhabiting our world? Every species has an impact on their local environment. However human impact can be detected in every environment.

- **Fundamentals of Weather and Climate**. Have you ever wondered how the weather works? Using tropical cyclones as an example, you will learn the key principles for understanding weather such as air pressure and the causes of wind and cloud formation.

- **Intelligent Materials**. Intelligent or “smart” materials change their properties in response to changes in their environment. Could we use these materials change our society?

- **Inspired by Plants** focuses on the amazing variety of structures in leaves, fruits, flowers and cones. Art and science intersect when you practice the science skills of close observation to create a model, drawing or digital representation of a plant or plant part.
The Living Laboratory. Gardens are living laboratories for scientists researching plant growth, habitat restoration, developing plants for agriculture and the horticulture industry. You too can be a scientist with your own living laboratory.

Biofluorescence is a complex natural phenomenon exhibited by several life forms which perform a biochemical reaction to produce light, which may be used for a distinct biological purpose such as communication and predation.

Sound. Discover how sound is created, how it travels, how sounds differ and how we describe sound. You will build your understanding of sound by conducting your own experiments.

Light is something we often take for granted. We explore the science of light and build an awareness of how light-based technologies promote sustainable development and provide solutions to global challenges in energy, education, agriculture and health.

Primary Astronomy explores the basics of solar system astronomy. We challenge the common astronomical misconceptions about how the sun, moon and planets work and the phases of the moon.

Telescopes, Colour and the Cosmos provides an introduction to fundamental aspects of modern astronomy required to build an observational understanding of the cosmos.

Tracing the Life Cycle of Stars develops an understanding of the main phases of the lifecycle of stars, their observable properties and their fundamental nature, by exploring concepts presented in Hertzsprung-Russel Diagrams and Colour-Magnitude Diagrams.

Life and Death of Stars takes you on a journey exploring the differences between stars and the way they live, evolve and eventually die. You will develop as a confident teacher of scientific material to students of all ages using authentic scientific process.

Gateway to Numeracy deals with real life problem solving using mathematics and integrating aspects of number and algebra, measurement and geometry and statistics and probability.

Smart Budgeting Primary looks at the practical preparation of budgets for a person or student. You will apply mathematics principles to effectively manage budgets across multiple domains either personal, organisational or government budgets by working through case studies and activities in real world scenarios.

Statistical Literacy Primary guides you through the everyday use of numbers and comparisons of numbers to justify the decisions that we make. Statistics is a way of making sense of factors or variables.

Smart Budgeting Secondary guides you through mathematics principles to effectively manage budgets across multiple domains; personal, organisational or government budgets by working through case studies and real world scenarios.

Investing and Protecting guides you through mathematics principles to financial management concepts including investing, superannuation, credit and protection through insurance. You will work through real world scenarios, case studies and activities.

Statistical Literacy Secondary shows you how to add rigor to numerical claims and comparisons and how to examine other people’s claims using statistical evidence and reasoning.

Infinity and Beyond is a familiar term to a generation of students, but what does it mean? How big or far away is it? Is there only one type of infinity? Can we estimate it or even imagine it? And what use is it in modelling our world using mathematics?

Modelling the Present - Predicting the Future explores the use of mathematical modelling to understand real world problems. You will delve into big data using case studies to explore recent advances in the treatment of disease, management of water pollution, measurement of light emissions of stars and predicting the price of commodities.
## Appendix X: Summary of dissemination activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Event type</th>
<th>Publisher / Host</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/12/2016</td>
<td>Connecting Young Children’s Mathematics Learning</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>The Mathematical Association of Victoria (MAV)</td>
<td>Keynote presentation</td>
<td>Mathematics and STEM educators, professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/11/2016 - 24/11/2016</td>
<td>STEM Education Research Centre (SERC) Spatial Reasoning Seminar</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>University of Canberra, ACT</td>
<td>Invited commentary and panel discussion</td>
<td>STEM researchers and teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/11/2016</td>
<td>Opening Real Science - Primary maths</td>
<td>MQ student workshop</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Improve primary financial and statistical literacy understanding</td>
<td>Pre-service primary students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/2016 - 08/11/2016</td>
<td>Educating the Educators - 2nd Conference on international approaches to scaling-up professional development in maths and science education</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>mascil (Mathematics and science for life!) Project: Freiburg, Germany</td>
<td>Creating STEM Online Materials for Pre-Service Teachers Through Interdisciplinary Collaboration Between Mathematics Educators, Mathematicians and Scientists</td>
<td>Teacher educators and researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/2016</td>
<td>ReMSTEP Conference</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Reconceptualising Mathematics and Science Teacher Education Programs (ReMSTEP): Melbourne</td>
<td>Linking Teachers and Students with mathematics and science</td>
<td>Mathematics and science educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/10/2016</td>
<td>ORS Professional learning workshop on science</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Carlingford West Public School</td>
<td>Professional learning workshop on using ORS</td>
<td>Primary mathematics and science teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/09/2016 - 30/09/2016</td>
<td>Enhancing The Training Of Mathematics And Science Teachers</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>22nd UniServe Science Conference: Australian Conference on Science &amp; Mathematics Education (ACSM): University of Queensland</td>
<td>Discuss shifts in higher education practice that can effect and support change through our preparation of the next generation of mathematics and science teachers nationally. Explore key findings and implications of Enhancing the Training of Mathematics and Science Teachers projects nationally</td>
<td>Tertiary mathematics and science educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/09/2016</td>
<td>Opening Real Science</td>
<td>Taster Day</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Macquarie taster day: science, medical and human sciences</td>
<td>MQ Community, students, teachers, general public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/09/2016</td>
<td>Opening Real Science - Primary Astronomy</td>
<td>MQ student workshop</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Workshop on astronomy teaching and learning conducted by Dr Cormac Purcell</td>
<td>Pre-service primary students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/07/2016</td>
<td>Can't be what you can't see - BWL</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Learners Conference 2016 - Common Ground: Vancouver, Canada</td>
<td>UNDA dissemination of report</td>
<td>International teachers/academics involved in learning and teaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/07/2016 - 06/07/2016</td>
<td>Australian Science Teachers Association</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>CONASTA 65: Conference of the Australian Science Teachers Association, Brisbane</td>
<td>Attendance for collaboration and dissemination of ORS project ideas</td>
<td>Science teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/07/2016</td>
<td>Implementing ORS across multi-campus (CSU) workshop</td>
<td>Trialling planning and support</td>
<td>Charles Sturt University and Macquarie University</td>
<td>Partner university collaboration and support for initial trialling program</td>
<td>Partner university – teacher education program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/04/2016 - 29/04/2016</td>
<td>Learning and teaching 2030: collaborating to shape the future of learning and teaching</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Office of Learning and Teaching (OLT) Conference, Melbourne</td>
<td>Attendance and workshops</td>
<td>Government; primary, secondary and tertiary educators and researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/05/2016 – 12/05/2016</td>
<td>ORS Workshop: trialling and implementation</td>
<td>Trialling support</td>
<td>Australian Catholic University (ACU)</td>
<td>Partner university collaboration and support for initial trialling program</td>
<td>Partner university – teacher education program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/02/2016</td>
<td>ORS practicum orientation workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Workshop orientating participating student in the ORS practicum</td>
<td>Primary and secondary education students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Australian Council of Deans of Education</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Australian Council of Deans of Education</td>
<td>Attendance and participation in discussion group on STEM</td>
<td>Higher education and stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/11/2015</td>
<td>Enhancing the training of mathematics and science teachers (ETMST) - Opening Real Science</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Inspiring STEM Education Forum: Southern Cross University, Gold Coast</td>
<td>Overview of ORS project scope and development</td>
<td>Educators, researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/09/2015</td>
<td>Consumer Chemistry</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Macquarie University, Learning and Teaching Week</td>
<td>Presentation of the Consumer Chemistry ORS module</td>
<td>General public, Macquarie University community, educators, students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/09/2015</td>
<td>ORS: Engaging primary teacher education students with science and mathematics</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education 2015 (ACSME)</td>
<td>Engaging primary pre-service teachers with mathematics and science: Workshop participation</td>
<td>Mathematics and science teachers and higher education sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/08/2015 - 22/08/2015</td>
<td>ORS workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Module workshop Science in the Community module team</td>
<td>ORS university partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/08/2015</td>
<td>UNDA ORS trialling workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>The University of Notre Dame Australia (UNDA)</td>
<td>Discussion of maths modules and trialling plan</td>
<td>Partner university - teacher education program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/08/2015 - 18/08/2015</td>
<td>ACU ORS trialling workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Australian Catholic University (ACU)</td>
<td>Partner university collaboration and support for initial trialling program</td>
<td>Partner university – teacher education program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/08/2015</td>
<td>ETMST Evaluation and dissemination forum</td>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>Office for Learning &amp; Teaching (OLT)</td>
<td>ETMST project teams present, collaborate and exchange ETMST strategies</td>
<td>Project teams, OLT staff, higher education learning and teaching professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/08/2015</td>
<td>National Science Week 2015</td>
<td>Launch and Festival</td>
<td>National Science Week Office</td>
<td>Indigenous Science NISEP and ORS participation</td>
<td>University partners disseminate Science in the Community module development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/07/2015</td>
<td>ORS Design Model: Learning &amp; teaching in science</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Overview of ORS project scope and development</td>
<td>Macquarie University community, tertiary educators, researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/05/2015</td>
<td>Design Slam! Opening Real Science: 6S's to Opening Real Science</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>University of Western Sydney</td>
<td>Showcasing of ORS modules, considering ORS module review reports, and hosting of critical review and discussion of module development</td>
<td>Students, teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/05/2015</td>
<td>Design Slam! Opening Real Science Significance</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Questacon: University of Canberra</td>
<td>Showcasing the impact and significance the ORS projects aims to have on STEM education in Australia</td>
<td>Science educators, teachers, education bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/03/2015</td>
<td>ETMST Evaluation and Dissemination forum</td>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>Office for Learning &amp; Teaching (OLT)</td>
<td>ETMST project teams present, collaborate and exchange ETMST strategies</td>
<td>Project teams, OLT staff, higher education learning and teaching professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/12/2014</td>
<td>Initiatives aim to lift numbers of new teachers</td>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>Sydney Morning Herald</td>
<td>Explain 'real' science and overview of ORS</td>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/12/2014</td>
<td>ORS Presentation to Edgeworth Heights and Windale Public Schools, Newcastle</td>
<td>Schools presentation</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Mentor Teacher Network Recruitment</td>
<td>Primary teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/11/2014 - 28/11/2014</td>
<td>Malaysian Teacher Delegation visit</td>
<td>Malaysian teachers tour</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Professional learning in maths and science. ORS presentations and 2 ORS special maths and science school visits</td>
<td>6 Malaysian primary teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/11/2014</td>
<td>Big History Project</td>
<td>Colloquium</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Big History Project and collaboration</td>
<td>MQ Physics and Astronomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/11/2014 -</td>
<td>Edith Cowan University visit ORS Partner visit</td>
<td>ORS Partner</td>
<td>Edith Cowan University</td>
<td>Presentation and collaboration for ORS: module development, trialling and implementation into TEP</td>
<td>ECU Academics and Pre-service teacher educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/11/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/11/2014</td>
<td>Opening Real Science: Authentic mathematics and science education for Australia</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Powerhouse Museum, Sydney</td>
<td>Overview of ORS project scope and development</td>
<td>General public, students, educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/2014</td>
<td>Inspiring Mathematics and Science in Teacher Education (IMSITE)</td>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>Southern Cross University</td>
<td>Collaboration between ETMST projects identify TEP structures; gauge readiness for Maths and Science change and transferability</td>
<td>Primary, Secondary and Tertiary Educators from Mathematics and Science Key Learning Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/11/2014 -</td>
<td>It's part of my life: Engaging university and community to enhance science and mathematics education'</td>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>Southern Cross University</td>
<td>Collaboration and strategies between ETMST projects</td>
<td>Pre-service teachers, accreditation bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/11/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/10/2014 -</td>
<td>Science engagement strategies</td>
<td>Forum</td>
<td>Japanese Teacher Exchange</td>
<td>Science engagement strategies</td>
<td>Primary and middle school science teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/11/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/10/2014</td>
<td>Equip and Inspire: Educating for the Future'</td>
<td>MQ@50 Alumni event</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Mathematics and Science teaching now and into the future</td>
<td>Early childhood to university mathematics and science educators, alumni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/10/2014</td>
<td>Support learning communities across science and technology</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Science and Technology Education Research Network (STERN)</td>
<td>Overview of ORS project aims to build on collaborative networks</td>
<td>Science educators, researchers, Education bodies, Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/09/2014</td>
<td>Opening Real Science, 6Es Design Framework and Learning Activities in Moodle</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>Presentation of core ORS design concepts</td>
<td>MQ Community, teachers, general public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/2014</td>
<td>Opening Real Science: Authentic mathematics and science education for Australia</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>The University of Notre Dame Australia (UNDA)</td>
<td>Overview of ORS project scope and development</td>
<td>Students, teachers, general public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/07/2014</td>
<td>‘Bringing kids out of their shells, via a ground-breaking Opening Real Science program’</td>
<td>Media release</td>
<td>Daily Telegraph</td>
<td>ORS engaging teaching practices, science literacy</td>
<td>Pre- and In-service mathematics and science teachers, educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/07/2014</td>
<td>Education revolution to change how science and maths are taught in schools</td>
<td>Media release</td>
<td>Herald Sun</td>
<td>ORS 'hands-on' teaching science</td>
<td>Pre- and In-service mathematics and science teachers, educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/04/2014</td>
<td>Sky no limits to students</td>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>Manly Daily, Sydney</td>
<td>Seaforth Public School astronomy at Macquarie University</td>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/04/2014</td>
<td>Future Growth: Do the maths</td>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>Sunday Herald</td>
<td>Importance of mathematics education in the future. Demonstrating the need for ORS outcomes and for lifting the number of mathematics teachers in</td>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Event type</td>
<td>Publisher / Host</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/04/2014</td>
<td>Opening Real Science Media Launch</td>
<td>Media launch</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>MQ Launch of ORS project by MQ Dean Professor Janet Greeley</td>
<td>OLT stakeholders, key MQ personnel, Pre-service teachers, primary school students and parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/12/2013</td>
<td>Initiatives aim to lift numbers of new teachers</td>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>Sydney Morning Herald</td>
<td>Professor Joanne Mulligan quoted explaining ‘real’ science and overview of the ORS project</td>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/2013</td>
<td>MQ AAstro Open night</td>
<td>ORS display booth</td>
<td>Macquarie University</td>
<td>ORS and ‘real’ science, network</td>
<td>MQ personnel, students, teachers, general public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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