Analysing early parent-child interaction in deafness.

Martina Curtin (Presenting Author), Evelien Dirks, Ros Herman, Madeline Cruice and Gary Morgan.

Martina.curtin.1@city.ac.uk

Infants and toddlers learn about the world mostly through social interactions and particularly through interactions with their parents [1]. Despite early identification and advancements in cochlear implant and hearing aid technology, delays in language skills in deaf children continue to exist [2-5]. Good quality parental interaction is a key predictor for the successful development of deaf children's language [6-10]. This work reports on the first steps of a four-phase, NIHR-funded study to co-produce a deaf-specific clinical assessment tool in parent-child interaction (PCI).

We carried out a systematic review on 61 studies; the protocol is available on PROSPERO [11]. We asked which parent behaviours are being assessed, how is PCI assessed, and which parent behaviours are associated with greater child language outcomes? We found that research on PCI behaviours concentrated on gaining attention, joint engagement, emotional sensitivity, and language input. PCI was mostly assessed using fine-grained coding systems, but no universal assessment tool was used. Some of the parent behaviours were found to be associated with higher scores in deaf children's language.

Following the review, we carried out an experimental comparison of PCI in 24 dyads. All children were deaf (aged from 11;0 to 65;0 months) and parents were deaf or hearing. We focused on parent contingent responses [12] and levels of facilitative language used by parents [13] as both are associated with better language development in deaf and hearing children. We asked how often parents responded contingently to their child's communicative attempts and what frequencies of high/low facilitative techniques did they use? Lastly, we asked how parent responsivity and language input correlated with child language as measured by the Communicative Development Inventories [14]. We will describe in detail the features of PCI seen to be most effective and related to higher scores in child language. Our next steps in the assessment tool's development will also be shared.

References

- 1. Chen, C. H., Castellanos, I., Yu, C., & Houston, D. M. (2019). Parental Linguistic Input and Its Relation to Toddlers' Visual Attention in Joint Object Play: A Comparison Between Children with Normal Hearing and Children With Hearing Loss. *Infancy: the official journal of the International Society on Infant Studies*, 24(4), 589–612. https://doi.org/10.1111/infa.12291
- 2. Hoffman, M.F., Cejas, I., & Quittner, A. L., & The Childhood Development after Cochlear Implantation (CdaCI) Investigative Team. (2016). Comparisons of longitudinal trajectories of social competence: Parent ratings of children with cochlear implants versus hearing peers. Otology & Neurotology, 37(2), pp 152–159.
- 3. Geers, A. E., Moog, J. S., Biedenstein, J., Brenner, C., & Hayes, H. (2009). Spoken language scores of children using cochlear implants compared to hearing age-mates at school entry. The Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education, 14 (3), pp 371-385.
- 4. Caselli, M. C., Rinaldi, P., Stefanini, S., & Volterra, V. (2012). Early action and gesture "vocabulary" and its relation with word comprehension and production. Child development, 83 (2), pp 526-542.
- 5. Rinaldi, P., Baruffaldi, F., Burdo, S., & Caselli, M. C. (2013). Linguistic and pragmatic skills in toddlers with cochlear implant. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders, 48, pp 715–725.
- 6. Nicastri, M., Giallini, I., Ruoppolo, G., Prosperini, L., de Vincentiis, M., Lauriello, M., Rea, M, Traisci, G. and Mancini, P. (2020). Parent Training and Communication Empowerment of Children With Cochlear Implant. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 1-18.
- 7. Ambrose, S.E., VanDam, M., & Moeller, M.P. (2013). Linguistic input, electronic media, and communication outcomes of toddlers with hearing loss. *Ear & Hearing*, 35, pp 139–147.
- 8. DesJardin J. L. (2003). Assessing parental perceptions of self-efficacy and involvement in families of young children with hearing loss. *Volta Review*, 103, pp 391–409.
- 9. Yoshinaga-Itano, C. (2003). From screening to early identification and intervention: Discovering predictors to successful outcomes for children with significant hearing loss. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 8, pp 11–30.
- 10. Pressman, L., Pipp-Siegel, S., Yoshinaga-Itano, C., & Deas, A. (1999). Maternal sensitivity predicts language gain in preschool children who are deaf and hard of hearing. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 4(4), pp 294–304.
- 11. Curtin, M., Dirks, E., Cruice, M., Herman, R., Morgan, M. 'What are the range and frequencies of parent interaction behaviours that have been assessed in parent-child interaction research with deaf children aged 0 –5?' [Internet]. PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews. 2020 [cited 15th January 2021]. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display record.php?RecordID=198567
- 12. Ambrose, S. E. (2016). Gesture use in 14-month-old toddlers with hearing loss and their mothers' responses. *American journal of speech-language pathology*, 25(4), 519-531.
- 13. DesJardin, J. L. (2006). Family empowerment: Supporting language development in young children who are deaf or hard of hearing. *The Volta Review*, 106(3), 275.
- 14. Alcock, K., Meints, K., & Rowland, C. (2020). *The UK communicative development inventories: Words and gestures.* J&R Press.