

MACQUARIE University

SYDNEY · AUSTRALIA

Training Simulations. Expertise & VIRTUAL REALITY

A/Prof Manolya Kavakli Director of VR Lab Simulation Hub

Director of Postgraduate Program Department of Computing

Macquarie University

20th JULY 2015

Contents

10 years of VR @ MQ Visor Research Themes Characteristics of VR and AR Training in VR **VISOR Training Simulations Experiment on Immersion Experiment on Expertise Future Projects and AR**

Human Performance Simulation: A Meeting of Minds WORKSHOP







Simulation Hub 2015





<image>

%6 Postdocs
%12 PhD students graduated
%6 current PhD students
%7 Honours students
%21 MIT students
%9 MEng interns
%6 Programmers
%67 in total

ARC Grants

- ※ 2009-2012 Australian Research Council Discovery Grant, DP0988088 (Kavakli) A Gesture-Based Interface for Designing in Virtual Reality (\$220K)
- ※ 2005-2008 Australian Research Council Discovery Grant, DP0558852 (Richards, Kavakli, Dras) Risk Management Using Agent Based Systems, Macquarie University (\$362K)
- ※ 2005-2006 Australian Research Council Linkage International Fellowship, LX0560117 (Kavakli, Pelachaud, Szilas) Interactive Drama Engine in Virtual Reality, Macquarie University (\$71K)
- ※ 2002-2005 Australian Research Council Linkage Grant, LP0216837 (Kavakli, Bossomaier, Tien, Cooper), Cognitive Modeling of Computer Games Pidgins (\$75K)

VISOR Publications

8 book chapters, 18 journal, 68 conference p., 6 abstracts
100 papers since 2003



- ※ 2014 Best Paper Award, CENTRIC 2014, The Seventh International Conference on Advances in Human-oriented and Personalized Mechanisms, Technologies, and Services, IARIA International Academy, Research, and Industry Association
- ※ 2013 Best Paper Award, ICIET 2013, International Conference on Information and Educational Technology,

International Association of Computer Science and Information Tech.

※ 2012 Best Paper Award, MMEDIA 2012, International Conferences on Advances in Multimedia, IARIA International Academy, Research and Industry Association **VISOR: Virtual and Interactive Simulations of Reality XHCI XVR/Graphics Programming** Simulation Design Motion Tracking **X**Learning/Training × Scene Complexity ×Simulator Sickness **X** Expertise ×Novices and Experts Cognitive Processing × Performance Cognitive Load





*Human Information Processing*Joint performance of Human Computer/ Machine Interfaces

Our Interest: Expertise

XISOR (Virtual and Interactive Simulations of Reality)

***CEPET** (Centre for Elite Performance, Expertise, and Training)

XUnderstanding expertise is important for the design of training programs.

*Principles and mechanisms proposed to underlie expertise can be used to evaluate the theories about basic cognitive processes and capacities, and thus explain human performance more generally (Loft et al, 2009).

VR

Jaron Lanier (1989)

* a medium composed of interactive computer simulations

- × sense the participant's position
- * replace or augment the feedback to senses
- × giving the feeling of
 - × being immersed or
 - × being present in the simulation.

XImmersion / Visualization:

The computer generates visual, auditory or other sensual outputs to the user of a world within the computer.

XInteraction:

The user can interact with this virtual world, directly manipulating objects in it.







VR & AR

<u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bBjvqnKQsTI&list=PL</u> DF1BBECCE066EE5E

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V34gCw4fyLs</u>

a⁰



Tracking motions

00





Biopack: EEG, ECG, EGG, EMG



Emotiv Headset

Training in VR

XA professional's confidence can be strengthened through VR exposures that provide the opportunity *****To experience mastery and **Control** under <u>high-stress conditions</u>. XVR Scenarios are designed to allow trainees ×to work through fear and Successfully translate it into effective performance. *Through repeated exposure, trainees develop a skill set to achieve high-demand tasks under mission critical and high-stress operations.

Border Security Sim



VisoR: Virtual and Interactive Simulation of Reality Research Group 2008

Speech & Gesture Recognition

VisoR: Virtual and Interactive Simulation of Reality Research Group 2008

Fire fighting Simulation



Scene Complexity







a⁰

Simulator Sickness



VisoR: Virtual and Interactive Simulation of Reality Research Group 2008

Augmented Reality

(aero30pr



a view of a physical, real-world environment whose elements are augmented

by computergenerated sensory input such as sound, video, graphics or GPS data

Future project: iDesign A Multimodal Augmented Reality System for Spatial Design

- Using the **GPS** location, accelerometer and gyroscope of the smart tablet, and Google glasses, we will generate a mobile AR system.
- The AR system (I-DeSIGN) will facilitate design communication by
 - * using 3D architectural objects such as walls and windows
 * to push and pull to shape and create a virtual built environment,



Experiment on Immersion Is Information Processing in VR different from Non-VR?

Coucke (2013) examined multimodal computer interfaces with a particular attention to the area of **speech and co-verbal gestures**.

※ Krauss defines a lexical affiliate as

* "The word whose retrieval the gesture is hypothesized to enhance".







Task completion

Finding 1: Task times were longer in the 3D VR environment especially for some participants, compared to the non-3D environment.

Comparison of 3D and Non-3D recording times 6:00 **Recording Time** 4:48 3:36 2:24 1:12 Non-3D 0:00 2a 2b 2c 2c 2d 2d 2e 2a 2b 2e He He hea hea tra tra hea tra tra tra diti diti art art diti rt diti rt rt diti ona ona ona ona ona Non-3D 2:22 1:43 2:01 1:00 1:12 1:08 1:53 1:56 1:53 1:15 2:20 2:25 1:45 1:21 1:16 1:00 5:22 3:50 1:24 1:28 3D

Gestures in 3D and Non-3d



Information richness in 3D

- Finding 2: More words were spoken in the 3D experiments than in the non-3D experiments.
- Finding 3: No significant difference in either keyword or gesture counts were observed between the 3D and non-3D experiments.



Keywords

- Finding 4: The 3D environment produced some unique keywords which reflected differences between the 2D and 3D objects, these include details.
 - Finding 5: Keywords and iconic gestures are correlated in both 3D and non-3D environments.
 - Finding 6: Nouns dominated keywords in both 3D and non-3D environments.

Words in 3D and Non-3D



Keywords in 3D and Non-3D



Temporal difference

Finding 7: There is an increased delay in 3D VR environment between gesture stroke onset and lexical affiliate observed.
70% of the 3D experiments had an increased delay .
The average delay in the 3D environment being 1.29 seconds as compared to .92 seconds in the non 3D experiments.

Gestures precede the words whose retrieval they facilitate.

We can see a correlation between our mean of .92 seconds for the non-3d environment and the mean of **.99 that Krauss found**.

Temporal difference between



Ubiquitous System Development: DeSIGN in VR 2009-2012 **XAustralian Research Council XDiscovery Grant, DP0988088 (Kavakli) X**A Gesture-Based Interface for Designing in Virtual Reality

*****Research questions:

*"How do we generate 3D models of real objects by sketching using VR in real-time?" and
*"How can we support the design process using VR, design cognition, and gesture recognition?"

Postdoctoral fellowship: Sketchpad Development Sketch Science Fellowship (1996, UK) * An Al Application for the Transformation of a 2D Sketch to a 3D Geometric Model *Project Report: * The NATO Science Fellowship Program for Post

Doctoral Studies, NATO area code: 4301, NATO list code: 51/B96/TU





Gesture Recognition * HCI * VR Programming * Motion Tracking

Flat

Rotating around X



Tacking

Gesture Recognition

52 individual piezzo resistive sensor strips
Socated from wrist to shoulders on the right and left side of the t-shirt.

*The data is acquired by the National Instrument Data Acquisition Unit.











Findings

Sparse Representation-based Classification (SRC). ×allows signals to be recovered with a few number of samples Using SRC and Compressed Sensing ×we obtained a gesture recognition rate of ×100% for both sensor jacket and wii-mote based userdependent tracking for 3D and 2D gesture sets ×99.33% for user-independent 2D gesture sets ×97.5% for user&time-independent 2D gesture sets *The adapted SRC algorithm outperforms other methods **SRC** recognition rate in face recognition: 92.7 ile 94.7 XNaïve Bayes recognition rate in sensor jacket apps: 65-97% ×HMM recognition rate 71.50-99.54%

Recognising Gehry's sketches

This means that explaining the 3D versions of these phenomena would require postulating a different mechanism and a different form of representation –one that itself could not take the form of a neural display since there are no known 3D neural displays that map a design space.





Experiment on Expertise Is an Expert's Information Processing different from a Novice?

*Analysis of design protocols of novice and expert designers, although based on a limited number of designers, have shown that there are **differences in the balance of cognitive actions** between the novice and the expert designers (Kavakli et al., 1999).

*The hypothesis:

* the reason for the imbalance in cognitive activity between the novice and the expert designers in the conceptual design process is the **rate of information processing** driven by their relative experience in drawing production and sketch recognition.

Sketches I





What are the cognitive actions corresponding to each design action?

An expert can be viewed as having developed the level of skill required to control emotions during high stress operations, over time and through experience.

Retrospective Protocol Analysis

Segment no: 248	so I am going to have to segment this a little bit. Something has to be here andsomething back here. And I am not going to bisect the main space.								
Action type	index	class	Description (where, of what, among what?	Depende			су		
				,	index		On what		
Drawing Dc	new	Circle 3							
Looking L1	old	Line 67							
Moves									
Perceptual Psg Prn1 Prn2	New New new	i-space I-relation g-relation	The rest space spatial rel (separate): the two spac spatial rel (included): the new spa is on the side of the building	aces New/ne bace w New/old			Dc, Psg Dc, L1		
Functional									
Goals									
type	content				Source Tri Seg/typ wh e		gger at?		
Type 2I am not going to bisect the main sType1.3I am splitting the building on the s center			sect the main space of the building ilding on the side, not in the	256 Tyr Prr		pe1.3 n1, Prn2			

0110011001100

1	Table A Correlation coefficients of cognitive actions across design depictions (Dc)											
		Expert	Novice									
	Drf	0.03	0.34				no	vice's	s cog	nitive ac	tions/page	es
	Dts	0.58										
111	Dtd	0.25	_0 75		350							
	Dsy	0.35	0.74		000				_			
	Dwo	0.32	0.75		300				$\overline{}$			Physical
	L	0.81			250				\rightarrow			drawing
0	Psg	-0.17	0.74	ns	200					\sum		looking
0	Posg	0.27	0.64	Ę.	200							
	Pfn	0.45	0.66	ac	150							moves
•,	Pfp	0.15	0.00		100							Perceptual
	Pof	0.53	-0.27		50					\sim		Functional
	Pro	0.74	0.98		00							Goals
. []	Prn	0.70	0.28		0	-		I		I	I	
	Por	0.57	0.92				P1		P2	P3	P4	IOTAL
Щ	Fn	0 75	0.86						pa	ges		
	Frei	0.20	0.21						•	•		
۳.	Fo	0.83	0.51									
-	Fnp	0.31	0.60									
	Fop	0.68	0.21									
- 4	Fi	0.24	0.26				• v			unitivo o	ationa/na	200
2	G1-1	0.45	-0.29				ex	pert	s cog	jnitive a	ictions/pag	ges
	G1-2	0.67	0.73									
	G1-3	0.44	0.21		450							
	G1-4	0.14	0.85		400) 🗕				$- \wedge$		Dhuning
	G2	0.34	0.38		350					-/	/	
-	G3	0.21		0	300			^				- drawing
	G4	0.19	0.58		250			-/				looking
	Ма	0.31	-0.29		200							moves
×.	Mod	0.07		"	150	-				\wedge		Percentual
1	Моа	0.69			100							
					50							Functional
0	20		1100 001100		0	,		\mathfrak{D}	ບ່ບ	- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0 - 0 6	Goals
11						ġ,	מ' מ	ב ה	ק ק			TOTAL
100 hans												
101/1001100110011001100110011									þ	ayes		
	1100110											

We are all looking for an answer but in fact what drives us is the question. Future isn't written. It is designed.



MELCOME

to our Sci-Fi World!

manolya.kavakli@mq.edu.au

http://www.comp.mq.edu.au/~manolya/

Design protocols

Example: Differences in Cognitive activity:

- Xthe expert : 2,916 actions and 348 segments,
- * the novice: 1,027 actions and 122 segments.
- The expert's design protocol is **2.84** times as rich as the novice's in terms of actions.
- There were **2.85** times as many segments in the expert designer's session as in the novice's.

X Differences in Productivity: (~3.25-3.5 times)

- * the expert: **13 pages** and 7 design alternatives
- * the novice: **4 pages** and 2 design alternatives.
- The statistical results (chi squared test, χ^2 >c, at 0.5% significance level):
- * there are differences between the expert's and the novice's cognitive actions.
 - * The strongest differences statistically are in perceptual actions and goals.

Sketches II





Key Centre of Design Computing, University of Sydney

Correlation Results in pages

Table 5. Correlation coefficients of cognitive actions in pages										
expert-page	Drawing	Looking	Perceptual	Functional	Goals	Moves				
Drawing	1.000									
Looking	0.864	1.000								
Perceptual	Perceptual 0.998		1.000							
Functional	unctional 0.998		0.998	1.000						
Goals	0.995	0.829	0.996	0.996	1.000					
Moves	ves 0.975		0.968	0.978	0.975	1.000				
novice-page	e Drawing	Looking	Perceptu	al Functio	onal Goals	s Moves				
Drawing	1.000									
Looking	0.968	1.00	C							
Perceptual	0.786	0.898	8 1.0	00						
Functional	0.744	0.828	8 0.6	70 1	.000					
Goals	0.655	0.80	6 0.9	81 0	.617 1.000	D				
Moves	0.951	0.862	2 0.6	80 0	.504 0.529	9 1.000				

Sketching as Mental imagery processing

Imagery and perception share many of the same types of neural mechanisms (Farah, 1988, Finke, 1980, 1989) and all characterizations of imagery rest on its resemblance to perception (Kosslyn, 1995).

※ Given the apparent parallels between the uses of imagery and those of likemodality perception (Osherson, 1995), it is not surprising that imagery apparently shares some of the same processing mechanisms used in recognition (Finke and Shepard, 1986, Kosslyn, 1995).

Modality-specific interference (Osherson, 1995):

Multisensory integration, also known as multimodal integration, is the study of how information from the different <u>sensory modalities</u>, such as sight, sound, touch, smell, self-motion and taste, may be integrated by the nervous system

Imagery and perception can often be considered functionally equivalent processes (Finke, 1980, Shepard, 1984).

FOR MORE INFO...

Kavakli, M., Gero, J.S., 2001: Sketching as mental imagery processing, Design Studies Vol 22/4, 347-364, July, ISSN 0142-694X (123 citations) [ERA A*]

Concurrent Cognitive Processing I

Primary concurrent actions:

X the cognitive actions that directly correlate with depicting drawings.
Secondary concurrent actions:

* the cognitive actions that highly correlate with the primary actions.

(constant-4) Strong correlations in both design protocols:
between depicting drawings (Dc) and
looking actions (L),
discovery of a relation (Prp),
association of a new depiction with a function (Fn).

(4+2): In addition to the constant-4, in the expert's design protocol:
 creation of a new relation (Prn)
 revisited thought of a function (Fo)

there are weak correlations in these categories in the novice's design protocol.

FOR MORE INFO...

• Kavakli, M., Gero, J.S., 2002: The structure of concurrent cognitive actions: A case study on novice and expert designers, Design Studies, Vol 23/1, 25-40, January ISSN 0142-694X (143 citations)

Training Simulations

Controlling emotion (fear and anger) and retaining active cognition during complex operations is a critical component of success in military operations. ×Fear and anger can overwhelm prefrontal cognitive processes (Russo et al., 2005). **X**The ability to control emotion varies across personnel, and often relates to experience. XAn expert can be viewed as having developed the level of skill required to control emotions during high stress operations, over time and through experience. %https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V34gCw4fyLs