NUS at the HOO 2011 Pilot Shared Task Daniel Dahlmeier¹, Hwee Tou Ng^{1,2}, and Thanh Phu Tran²

¹NUS Graduate School for Integrative Sciences and Engineering ²Department of Computer Science, National University of Singapore

System Architecture

 $\mathsf{Input} \Rightarrow$

elling correction
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Article correction

correction
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Preposition correction

$$\Rightarrow Outp$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Output

Spelling Correction

- Open-source spell checker Aspell.
- In-domain dictionary from ACL-ANTHOLOGY.
- Exclude words which are too short or include hyphens or capitalization.

Language Model Filter

Article Correction

- Multi-class linear classifier.
- Normalize an to a for classification.
- Predict most likely article for each NP

 $\hat{y} = \underset{y \in \{a, the, \epsilon\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \mathbf{u}_{y}^{T} X.$

Rule-based heuristic to restore an. Thresholding: only propose correction if

Preposition Correction

- Multi-class linear classifier.
- Predict most likely preposition for each PP.
- Possible classes: about, among, at, by, for, in, into, of, on, to, and with.
- Thresholding: only propose correction if

In each step, only keep corrections that strictly increase the normalized language model score.

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\hat{y}}^T X - \mathbf{u}_{y_{observed}}^T X\| > t.$$

 $\|\mathbf{u}_{\hat{\mathbf{V}}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{y}_{observed}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X}\| > t.$

Experiments

- ► Split HOO development data into HOO-TUNE and HOO-HELDOUT.
- Train classifiers on well-edited examples from CL-JOURNAL.
- ► Train language model filters on ACL-ANTHOLOGY (spelling) and WEB 1T 5-GRAM (articles, prepositions).
- Tune threshold parameters on HOO-TUNE when testing on HOO-HELDOUT or on the complete HOO development data when testing on HOO-TEST.

HOO Held-Out Development Data

Step	Detection		Recognition		Correction	
	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b
PRE	.2152	.0000	.2152	.0000	.2152	.0000
+SPEL	.2219	.0095	.2190	.0063	.2162	.0031
+ART	.2681	.1093	.2520	.0917	.2455	.0846
+PREP	.2973	.1354	.2763	.1123	.2657	.1008

Evaluation

- > Overall micro-averaged F_1 detection, recognition, and correction scores as defined in the official HOO overview paper.
- ▶ Individual F_1 scores for each error category.

$$P = \frac{\#\{\text{detected / recognized / corrected}\}}{\#\{\text{proposed corrections}\}}$$
$$R = \frac{\#\{\text{detected / recognized / corrected}\}}{\#\{\text{gold corrections}\}}$$
$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{P \times R}{P + R}$$

▶ With bonus scores, give credit for missed optional corrections.

HOO Test Data

Detection Recognition Correction Step

Table: Overall F_1 scores with (wb) and without bonus (w/o b) on the HOO-HELDOUT data after pre-processing (PRE), spelling (SPEL), article (ART), and preposition correction (PREP).

Step	Detection		Reco	gnition	Correction	
	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b
SPEL	.2667	.2667	.2667	.2667	.2667	.2667
ART	.3455	.3011	.3455	.3011	.3246	.2796
PREP	.2692	.2353	.2308	.1961	.1731	.1373

Table: Individual F_1 scores for each error category with (wb) and without bonus (w/o b) on the HOO-HELDOUT data.

•						
	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b
PRE	.1553	.0000	.1553	.0000	.1553	.0000
+SPEL	.1663	.0093	.1629	.0093	.1611	.0075
+ART	.2718	.1552	.2545	.1373	.2209	.1014
+PREP	.2840	.1774	.2686	.1615	.2274	.1177

Table: Overall F_1 scores with (wb) and without bonus (w/o b) on the HOO-TEST data.

Step	Detection		Recognition		Correction	
	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b	wb	w/o b
SPEL	.4706	.4706	.4706	.4706	.4706	.4706
ART	.3591	.3404	.3466	.3277	.2630	.2426
PREP	.3409	.2000	.3409	.2000	.2614	.1200

Table: Individual F_1 scores for each error category with (wb) and without bonus (w/o b) on the HOO-TEST data.

http://nlp.comp.nus.edu.sg

{danielhe, nght, thanhphu}@comp.nus.edu.sg